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# Numerical solver for ODE's 

Numerical evaluation of integrals at a few points

Holonomic systems
(ODE's) for them

Definite integrals in physics and statistics

What is a difficulty in numerical solver in HGM?

The ODE may contain solutions $f(t)$ such that

$$
f(t) \gg Z(t)(\text { normalizing constant, } \ldots)
$$

Example 1

$$
\frac{d Y}{d t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right) Y
$$

$\lambda_{1}>0>-\lambda_{2}$. We assume $Z(t)=Y_{1}(t)+Y_{2}(t) \sim \exp \left(-\lambda_{2} t\right)$.
A small numerical error $\varepsilon$ in the initial condition

$$
Y(0)=(\varepsilon, 1)^{T}
$$

gives the solution $Y(t)=\left(\varepsilon \exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right), \exp \left(-\lambda_{2} t\right)\right)^{T}$ and then

$$
Y_{1}(t)+Y_{2}(t)=\varepsilon \exp \left(\lambda_{1} t\right)+\exp \left(-\lambda_{2} t\right)
$$

## Example 2

(Airy function, running example 1)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}-t y=0  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{Ai}(t) \sim \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi} t^{1 / 4}} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) O(1) \\
\operatorname{Bi}(t) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} t^{1 / 4}} \exp \left(\frac{2}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) O(1)
\end{gather*}
$$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Airy_function The initial value problem to obtain $\operatorname{Ai}(t)$ will have the difficulty.

## Example 3

( $H_{n}^{k}(x, y)$, running example 2) Let $n$ and $k$ be positive integers. (OpenXM/Math/defusing/Hkn/19-a19-n-pf.rr)

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{n}^{k}(x, y)= & \int_{0}^{x} t^{k} \exp (-t)_{0} F_{1}(; n ; y t) d t  \tag{2}\\
= & \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n-1 / 2)} \int_{D(x)} t^{k}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{n-3 / 2} \exp (-t-2 s \sqrt{y t}) d t d s \\
& \quad \text { where } D(x)=\{(t, s) \in[0, x] \times[-1,1]\} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

This function appears in studies of the outage probability of MIMO WiFi systems KA[6]. The function $H_{n}^{k}(x, y)$ is annihilated by the following ordinary differential operator w.r.t $y$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{2} \partial_{y}^{4}+(-y+2 n+2) y \partial_{y}^{3} \\
& \quad+(-y x+(-k-n-3) y+n(n+1)) \partial_{y}^{2} \\
& \quad+((y-n) x-n(k+2)) \partial_{y}+(k+1) x \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Initial value problem.

1. Runge-Kutta methods work in a short range. Implicit Runge-Kutta methods work in a longer range, but are not enough.
2. Geometric integrators like simpletic methods cannot be applied in most cases.
Boundary value problem.
3. A naive approaches do not work well.

Sparse interpolation/extrapolation methods

```
A few
evaluations of the integral
(value data) and ODE L
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { values on } \\
& \text { a longer } \\
& \text { interval }
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Chebyshef function method Trefethen[12], chebfun[2].
2. Minimizing $\int_{D}|L f|^{2} d \mu(t)$ with constraints by value data ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ It is standard in functional and numerical analysis, but it is useful in HGM. Then, we name it the sparse interpolation/extrapolation method.

## Chebyshef function method, chebfun[2]

The chebfun project was initiated in 2002 by Lloyd N. Trefethen and his student Zachary Battles.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chebfun.
The $n$-th Chebyshef function (polynomial) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=\cos (n \theta), \quad x=\cos \theta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extreme points of the curve $y=T_{n}(x)$ in $[-1,1]$, which we mean points that take the value $y=1$ or $y=-1$, are called Chebyshef points (of the second kind) of $T_{n}$. For example, $T_{2}(x)=2 x^{2}-1$, the Chebyshef points are $\{-1,0,1\}$. $T_{3}(x)=4 x^{3}-3 x,\{-1,-0.5,0.5,1\}$.

## Chebyshef interpolant

Let $f(x)$ be a function. Fix the set of Chebyshef points for $T_{n}(x)$. Let the value of $f$ at Chebyshef point $x_{j}$ be $f_{j}$. The Chebyshev interpolant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}} \frac{(-1)^{j} f_{j}}{x-x_{j}} / \sum_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{x-x_{j}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The primes on the summation signes signify that the terms $j=0$ and $j=n$ are multiplied by $1 / 2$.

$$
p\left(x_{j}\right)=f_{j} \text {. Degree } n \text { polynomial. }
$$

## Convergence rate

$a_{k}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(x) T_{k}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x . f=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} T_{k}(x)$ when $f$ is Lipschitz continuous.
Theorem 4
(Bernstein 1911, 1912. See, e.g., Th 8.2, Th 8.3 in Trefethen[12]) If $f$ is analytic on $[-1,1]$, its Chebyshef coefficients $a_{k}$ decrease geometrically. If $f$ is analytic and $|f| \leq M$ in the Bernstein $\rho$-ellipse ${ }^{2}$ about $[-1,1]$, then $\left|a_{k}\right|<2 M \rho^{-k}$. The degreee $n$ Chebyshev interpolant has accuracy $O\left(M \rho^{-n}\right)$ by the sup norm.
${ }^{2}$ The radius $\rho$ circle in the $z$-plane. Map it by $x=\left(z+z^{-1}\right) / 2$ and then we obtain the Bernstein $\rho$-ellipse:
https://www.chebfun.org/examples/approx/Entire.html

```
chebmat M(n-m,n;s) chebfun[2]
```

Let $X$ be the set of the $n$ Chebyshef points (of the second kind) for the Chebyshef function $T_{n-1}$.
$\ell_{j}(X ; t)$ : the $j$-th polynomial of the Lagrange interpolation for $X$. Let $Y$ be the set of the $(n-m)$ Chebyshef points where $m \geq 0^{3}$.
Definition 5
chebfun[2] $M(n-m, n ; s):(n-m) \times n$ matrix with $(i, j)$ entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} \ell_{k}\left(Y ; Y_{i}\right) \ell_{j}^{(s)}\left(X ; Y_{k}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f(t)$ is the Chebyshef interpolant w.r.t. $X$,

$$
f^{(s)}\left(Y_{i}\right)=(i \text {-th row of } M(n-m, n ; s)) \cdot\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)^{T}
$$

[^0]From ODE to a (dense) matrix equation

## Example 6

The Airy equation

$$
f^{\prime \prime}-t f=0
$$

Symbolically, we solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M(n-2, n ; 2)-\operatorname{diag}(Y) M(n-2, n ; 0)) F=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\left[f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right]^{T}$ with giving, e.g., values of $f_{0}$ and $f_{n-1}$ (boundary values) or values of $f_{0}$ and the first entry of $M(n-2, n ; 1)\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)^{T}$ (initial values $f$ and $\left.f^{\prime}\right)$.
See https://www.chebfun.org/examples/ode-linear/
SpectralDisc.html.



Figure: Solving the Airy differential equation by chebfun

Initial value problem for $\operatorname{Airy} \operatorname{Ai}(t)$. (OpenXM/Math/defusing/intro/y2023_07_16_airy_initial_value.m) $\mathrm{Ai}(-20)=-0.176406127077984689590192292219, . \mathrm{Ai}^{\prime}(-20)=0.892862856736471238398409934114$ Chebfun gives reasonable values ${ }^{4}$ upto $t=9$, but divergent values appear when $t$ is larger than 9 . See the left graph of Figure 1.

Boundary value problem for $\operatorname{Airy} \mathrm{Ai}(t)$. (OpenXM/Math/defusing/intro/y2023_07_16_airy_boundary_value.m) $\operatorname{Ai}(-20)=-0.176406127077984689590192292219, \operatorname{Ai}(11)=4.22627586496035959129883545080 \times 10^{-12}$.

Divergent values do not appear. See the right graph of Figure 1.

## ${ }^{4}$ Values are compared with Mathematica.

## Example 7

Boundary value problem for $H_{n}^{k}(x, y)$ for $x=1$ and $y \in\left[10^{8}, 10^{8}+2 \times 10^{5}\right]$.
We give the boundary values of $H_{1}^{10}(1, y)$ and $\frac{\partial H_{1}^{10}}{\partial y}(1, y)$ at $y=10^{8}$ and $y=10^{8}+2 \times 10^{5}$. We apply the chebfun package for this boundary value problem.
(OpenXM/Math/defusing/Hkn/y2023_07_25_hkn_valid10power8.m)
To check the accuracy, we compare the values by the chebfun package and by the numerical integration by Mathematica at $y=10^{8}+200$. The chebfun package keeps 4 digits accuracy at the point and the ODE is solved in $1.66 \mathrm{~s}^{5}$. On the otherhand, the numerical integration by Mathematica (2022)
(OpenXM/Math/defusing/Hkn/2023-07-09-hkn-int.m) took $23.58 \mathrm{~s}^{6}$.
${ }^{5}$ Apple M1, 2020, Matlab 2022b
${ }^{6}$ AMD EPYC 7552 48-Core Processor, 1499.534 MHz


Figure: Left: $H_{1}^{10}(1, y)$. Right: $\log H_{1}^{10}(1, y)$. Values should be magnified by $10^{8678}$.

Known: $L f=0(\mathrm{ODE}), f\left(p_{i}\right)=q_{i}$ for some points $p_{i}$ 's. $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ : a set of basis functions. Put $f(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{M} f_{k} e_{k}(t)$ (unknown contants $f_{j}^{\prime}$ s). Minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}|L f(t)|^{2} d \mu(t), f\left(p_{i}\right)=q_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A numerical integration for a function $g$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}(g)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} T_{j} g\left(t_{j}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0}=a<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N-1}<t_{N}=b$ and $T_{j} \in \mathrm{R}_{\geq 0}$. Fix it. Then, the loss function is

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell\left(\left\{f_{k}\right\}\right) & :=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left|(L f)\left(t_{j}\right)\right|^{2} T_{j}  \tag{11}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left|\sqrt{T_{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{M} f_{k}\left(L e_{k}\right)\left(t_{j}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We minimize it under $f\left(p_{i}\right)=q_{i}$ (least square for the data $\left(L e_{k}\right)\left(t_{j}\right) \sqrt{T_{j \dot{j}}}$,

## Chebyshef function method as a sparse interpolation

The Chebyshef function method can be regarded as a special case of this method. The numerical integration scheme of the Chebyshef quadrature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1-t^{2}} g(t) d t \sim \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T_{i} g\left(Y_{i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ is the set of the Chebyshef points for $T_{k}$ and the weight $T_{i}$ is

$$
T_{i}=\frac{\pi}{k} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{i}{k} \pi\right)
$$

Put $g(t)=|L f|^{2}$ and $d \mu(t)=\sqrt{1-t^{2}} d t$ in (9). Since the left hand side of (8) are values at the set of Chebyshef points $Y$, assuming that it is equal to the zero vector is equivalent to that the integral by the Chebyshef quadrature over $Y$ is equal to zero.

## Todo

A different solver with validation and Chebyshef functions is proposed in BBJ2018[1] ${ }^{7}$.
The advantage of the method is that matrices in the solver are banded and validation is given. We will test this method for the HGM as a next try.

[^1]
## Example 8

$E\left[\chi\left(M_{\times}\right)\right]$, TJKZ2020[11] (Expectation of Euler characteristic of random manifolds).
Extrapolation of some values near $t=4.8$ by the sparse interpolation/extrapolation method; The degree 29 polynomial and the rectangle integration is used for a rank 11 ODE (26KB). https://colab.research.google.com/drive/
1XhysmF1DMZf AhTt10tc9A7tFYRBeI6tI?usp=sharing See
Figure 3.



Figure: The graph of $F_{29}(t)$ and simulation values in the left and relative errors in the right. The data points are marked with ' $x$ '.

Defusing method (filter method) for initial value problems

The initial value problem of the ODE

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d F}{d t} & =P(t) F  \tag{13}\\
F\left(t_{0}\right) & =F_{0}^{\text {true }} \in \mathrm{R}^{r} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P(t)$ is an $r \times r$ matrix, $F(t)$ is a column vector function of size $r$, and $F_{0}^{\text {true }}$ is the initial value of $F$ at $t=t_{0}$.
Situation 1

1. The initial value has at most 3 digits of accuracy. We denote this initial value $F_{0}$.
2. The property $|F| \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$ is known, e.g., from a background of the statistics.
3. There exists a solution $\tilde{F}$ of (13) such that $|\tilde{F}| \rightarrow+\infty$ or non-zero finite value when $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Numerical schemes such as the Runge-Kutta method obtain a numerical solution by the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k+1}=Q(k, h) F_{k} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $F_{0}$ where $Q(k, h)$ is an $r \times r$ matrix determined by a numerical scheme and $h$ is a small number. The vector $F_{k}$ is an approximate value of $F(t)$ at $t=t_{k}=t_{0}+h k$. Let $N$ be a suitable natural number and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=Q(N-1, h) Q(N-2, h) \cdots Q(1, h) Q(0, h) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $Q$ the matrix factorial of $Q(k, h)$. The matrix $Q$ approximates the fundamental solution matrix of the ODE.

Project $F_{0}$ to eigenspaces of non-positive eigenvalues.

## Defusing method — algorithm

## Algorithm 1

1. Obtain eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\cdots>\lambda_{r}>0$ of $Q$ and the corresponding eigenvectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$.
2. Let $\lambda_{m}$ be the first non-positive eigenvalue.
3. Express the initial value vector $F_{0}$ containing errors in terms of $v_{i}$ 's as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=f_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+f_{r} v_{r}, \quad f_{i} \in \mathrm{R} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Choose a constant $c$ such that $F_{0}^{\prime}:=c\left(f_{m} v_{m}+\cdots+f_{r} v_{r}\right)$ approximates $F_{0}$.
5. Determine $F_{N}$ by $F_{N}=Q F_{0}^{\prime}$ with the new initial value vector $F_{0}^{\prime}$.

Example 9
Solving Airy differential equation by the defusing method. (OpenXM/Math/defusing/intro/2023-07-21-airy.rr) Give initial values at $t=-20$ as
$\mathrm{F} 0=[-0.17640612707798468959,0.89286285673647123840]$
( $\mathrm{Ai}[-20]$ and $\mathrm{Ai}^{\prime}[-20]$ ).


Figure: Solving initial value problem, $t \in[-20,30]$

## Example 10

We implement the defusing method in tk_ode_by_mpfr.rr ${ }^{8}$ for the Risa/Asir [9]. It generates C codes utilizing the MPFR [8] for bigfloat and the GSL [4] for eigenvalues and eigenvectors. We apply the defusing method for initial value problem to $H_{1}^{10}(1, y)$ which is a solution of the ODE (4). We apply the defusing method for a transformed ODE with a gauge function $\exp (y) y^{1-n+k}$ to make the target solution decrease to 0 when $y \rightarrow \infty$. We use the step size $h=10^{-3}$ and the bigfloat of 30 digits of accuracy.
(OpenXM/Math/defusing/asir-tmp/tk-ode-assert.rr (code generation), tk-ode-assert.hkn1(), tk-ode-assert.hkn2()) The Figure 5 shows that the adaptive Runge-Kutta method of GSL [4] fails before $y$ becomes 30. The Figure 6 presents the relative error of values by the defusing method and exact values. It shows that the defusing method works even when $y=10^{3}$.

[^2]

Figure: $\log H_{1}^{10}(1, y)$. Exact value (by numerical integration) and the value by our defusing method agree. The adaptive Runge-Kutta method with the initial relative error $10^{-20}$ (upper curve) does not agree with the exact value when $y$ is larger than about 25 .


Figure: The relative error of $H_{1}^{10}(1, y)$ of our defusing method. The relative error is defined as $\left(H_{d}-H\right) / H$ where $H_{d}$ is the value by the defusing method and $H$ is the exact value.

## Summary

1. The use of implicit Runge-Kutta method will be a good choice for solving ODE in a short range.
2. In order to solve unstable HGM initial value problem, the defusing method (filter method) will be a good choice.
3. In order to solve unstable HGM boundary value or sparse interpolation problem, the Chebyshef function method and other sparse interpolation method will be a good choice.
4. See also todo.
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