

INTRODUCTION TO GRÖBNER BASES

TAKAYUKI HIBI AND HIDEFUMI OHSUGI

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K with $\deg x_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and let

$$\text{Mon}(S) = \{x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n} : a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

be the set of monomials of S , where \mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of nonnegative integers. In particular $1 \in \text{Mon}(S)$. For monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} = x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ of S , we say that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ *divides* $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ if $b_i \leq a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We write $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ divides $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$. Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty subset of $\text{Mon}(S)$. A monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{M}$ is said to be a *minimal element* of \mathcal{M} with respect to divisibility if whenever $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ with $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$. Let \mathcal{M}^{\min} denote the set of minimal elements of \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 1 (DICKSON'S LEMMA). *Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty subset of $\text{Mon}(S)$. Then \mathcal{M}^{\min} is a finite set.*

Proof. We prove Dickson's lemma by using induction on n , the number of variables of $S = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Let $n = 1$. If d is the smallest integer for which $x_1^d \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}^{\min} = \{x_1^d\}$. Thus \mathcal{M}^{\min} is a finite set.

Let $n \geq 2$ and $B = K[\mathbf{x}] = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. We use the notation y instead of x_n . Thus $S = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y]$. Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty subset of $\text{Mon}(S)$. Write \mathcal{N} for the subset of $\text{Mon}(B)$ which consists of those monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} y^b \in \mathcal{M}$ for some $b \geq 0$. Our induction hypothesis says that \mathcal{N}^{\min} is a finite set. Let $\mathcal{N}^{\min} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}$. By the definition of \mathcal{N} , for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, there is $b_i \geq 0$ with $u_i y^{b_i} \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $b = \max\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$. Now, for each $0 \leq \xi < b$, define the subset \mathcal{N}_ξ of \mathcal{N} to be

$$\mathcal{N}_\xi = \{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{N} : \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} y^\xi \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Again, our induction hypothesis says that, for each $0 \leq \xi < b$, the set \mathcal{N}_ξ^{\min} is finite. Let $\mathcal{N}_\xi^{\min} = \{u_1^{(\xi)}, u_2^{(\xi)}, \dots, u_{s_\xi}^{(\xi)}\}$. We now show that each monomial belonging to \mathcal{M} is divisible by one of the monomials which appear in the following list:

$$\begin{aligned} & u_1 y^{b_1}, u_2 y^{b_2}, \dots, u_s y^{b_s}, \\ & u_1^{(0)}, u_2^{(0)}, \dots, u_{s_0}^{(0)}, \\ & u_1^{(1)} y, u_2^{(1)} y, \dots, u_{s_1}^{(1)} y, \\ & \dots \dots \dots \\ & u_1^{(b-1)} y^{b-1}, u_2^{(b-1)} y^{b-1}, \dots, u_{s_{b-1}}^{(b-1)} y^{b-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, since, for each monomial $w = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}y^\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in \text{Mon}(B)$, one has $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows that if $\gamma \geq b$, then w is divisible by one of the monomials $u_1y^{b_1}, u_2y^{b_2}, \dots, u_sy^{b_s}$, and that if $0 \leq \gamma < b$, then w is divisible by one of the monomials $u_1^{(\gamma)}y^\gamma, u_2^{(\gamma)}y^\gamma, \dots, u_{s_\gamma}^{(\gamma)}y^\gamma$. Clearly, the monomials listed above are in \mathcal{M} . Hence \mathcal{M}^{\min} is a subset of the set of monomials listed above. Thus \mathcal{M}^{\min} is finite, as desired. \square

A *monomial order* on S is a total order $<$ on $\text{Mon}(S)$ such that

- $1 < u$ for all $1 \neq u \in \text{Mon}(S)$;
- if $u, v \in \text{Mon}(S)$ and $u < v$, then $uw < vw$ for all $w \in \text{Mon}(S)$.

Example 2. (a) Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ be vectors belonging to \mathbb{Z}_+^n . We define the total order $<_{\text{lex}}$ on $\text{Mon}(S)$ by setting $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} <_{\text{lex}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ if either (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i < \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$, or (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$ and the left-most nonzero component of the vector $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$ is negative. It follows that $<_{\text{lex}}$ is a monomial order on S , which is called the *lexicographic order* on S induced by the ordering $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$.

(b) Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ be vectors belonging to \mathbb{Z}_+^n . We define the total order $<_{\text{rev}}$ on $\text{Mon}(S)$ by setting $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} <_{\text{rev}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ if either (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i < \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$, or (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$ and the right-most nonzero component of the vector $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$ is positive. It follows that $<_{\text{rev}}$ is a monomial order on S , which is called the *reverse lexicographic order* on S induced by the ordering $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$.

For example, $x_2x_3 <_{\text{lex}} x_1x_4$ and $x_1x_4 <_{\text{rev}} x_2x_3$ in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Among the monomials of degree 2 of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, one has

$$x_3^2 <_{\text{lex}} x_2x_3 <_{\text{lex}} x_2^2 <_{\text{lex}} x_1x_3 <_{\text{lex}} x_1x_2 <_{\text{lex}} x_1^2$$

and

$$x_3^2 <_{\text{rev}} x_2x_3 <_{\text{rev}} x_1x_3 <_{\text{rev}} x_2^2 <_{\text{rev}} x_1x_2 <_{\text{rev}} x_1^2.$$

Exercise 3. List the 10 monomials of degree 3 of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ with respect to each of $<_{\text{lex}}$ and $<_{\text{rev}}$.

Lemma 4. Let $<$ be a monomial order on S . Let $u, v \in \text{Mon}(S)$ with $u \neq v$ and suppose that u divides v . Then $u < v$.

Proof. Write $v = uw$ with $w \in \text{Mon}(S)$. Since $w \neq 1$, one has $1 < w$. Thus $1 \cdot u < w \cdot u$. Hence $u < v$, as desired. \square

We will work with a fixed monomial order $<$ on S . Let $f = \sum_{u \in \text{Mon}(S)} a_u u$ be a nonzero polynomial of S with each $a_u \in K$. The *support* of f is the finite set

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{u \in \text{Mon}(S) : a_u \neq 0\}.$$

The *initial monomial* of f with respect to $<$ is the biggest monomial with respect to $<$ among the monomials belonging to $\text{supp}(f)$.

Recall that an ideal of S is a nonempty subset I of S such that

- if $f, g \in I$, then $f \pm g \in I$;
- if $f \in I$ and $h \in S$, then $fh \in I$.

Given a subset $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of S , we write $(\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ for the set of polynomials of the form $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} h_\lambda f_\lambda$, where $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : h_\lambda \neq 0\}$ is finite. Then $(\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ is an ideal of S , which is called the ideal of S generated by $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. When Λ is finite, say, $\Lambda = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, we write (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s) instead of $(\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s\})$. Conversely, given an ideal I of S , there exists a subset $(\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ of S with $I = (\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$. We call $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a system of generators of I . We say that an ideal I of S is *finitely generated* if I possesses a system of generators consisting of a finite number of polynomials. Later, we will see that every ideal of S is finitely generated (Corollary 9).

A *monomial ideal* is an ideal which is generated by a set of monomials. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. It follows that I is generated by a subset $\mathcal{N} \subset \text{Mon}(S)$ if and only if $(I \cap \text{Mon}(S))^{\min} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Hence $(I \cap \text{Mon}(S))^{\min}$ is a *unique* minimal system of monomial generators of I . Dickson's lemma guarantees that $(I \cap \text{Mon}(S))^{\min}$ is finite. Thus in particular every monomial ideal is finitely generated.

Let I be a nonzero ideal of S . The *initial ideal* of I with respect to $<$ is the monomial ideal of S which is generated by $\{\text{in}_<(f) : 0 \neq f \in I\}$. We write $\text{in}_<(I)$ for the initial ideal of I . Thus

$$\text{in}_<(I) = (\{\text{in}_<(f) : 0 \neq f \in I\}).$$

Since $(\text{in}_<(I) \cap \text{Mon}(S))^{\min}$ is a minimal system of monomial generators of $\text{in}_<(I)$, and since $\text{in}_<(I) \cap \text{Mon}(S) = (\{\text{in}_<(f) : 0 \neq f \in I\})$, there exists a finite number of nonzero polynomials g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s belonging to I such that $\text{in}_<(I)$ is generated by the set $\{\text{in}_<(g_1), \text{in}_<(g_2), \dots, \text{in}_<(g_s)\}$ of their initial monomials.

Definition 5. Let I be a nonzero ideal of S . A finite set $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$ of nonzero polynomials with each $g_i \in I$ is said to be a *Gröbner basis* of I with respect to $<$ if the initial ideal $\text{in}_<(I)$ of I is generated by the set $\{\text{in}_<(g_1), \text{in}_<(g_2), \dots, \text{in}_<(g_s)\}$ of their initial monomials.

A Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$ exists. If \mathcal{G} is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$, then every finite set \mathcal{G}' with $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}' \subset I$ is also a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$. If $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$ and if f_1, \dots, f_s are nonzero polynomials belonging to I with each $\text{in}_<(f_i) = \text{in}_<(g_i)$, then $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ is also a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$.

Example 6. Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_7]$ and $I = (f, g)$, where $f = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$ and $g = x_4x_7 - x_5x_6$. Let $<_{\text{lex}}$ the lexicographic order on S induced by $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_7$. One has $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(f) = x_1x_4$ and $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(g) = x_4x_7$. We claim that $\{f, g\}$ is not a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<_{\text{lex}}$. In fact, the polynomial $h = x_7f - x_1g = x_1x_5x_6 - x_2x_3x_7$ belongs to I , but its initial monomial $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(h) = x_1x_5x_6$ can be divided by neither $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(f)$ nor $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(g)$. Hence $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(h) \notin (\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(f), \text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(g))$. Thus $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(I) \neq (\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(f), \text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(g))$. In other words, $\{f, g\}$ is not a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<_{\text{lex}}$. Later, we will show that $\{f, g, h\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<_{\text{lex}}$ (Example 16).

Lemma 7. Let $<$ be a monomial order on $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then, for any monomial u of S , there is no infinite descending sequence of the form

$$(1) \quad u = u_0 > u_1 > u_2 > \dots$$

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that one has an infinite descending sequence (1) and write \mathcal{M} for the set of monomials $\{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$. It follows from Dickson's lemma that \mathcal{M}^{\min} is a finite set, say $\mathcal{M}^{\min} = \{u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, \dots, u_{i_s}\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s$. Then the monomial u_{i_s+1} is divided by u_{i_j} for some $1 \leq j \leq s$. Thus by Lemma 4 one has $u_{i_j} < u_{i_s+1}$, which contradicts $i_j < i_s + 1$. \square

Theorem 8. *Let I be a nonzero ideal of $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ a Gröbner basis of I with respect to a monomial order $<$ on S . Then $I = (g_1, \dots, g_s)$. In other words, every Gröbner basis of I is a system of generators of I .*

Proof. (Gordan) Let $0 \neq f \in I$. Since $\text{in}_<(f) \in \text{in}_<(I)$ and since \mathcal{G} is a Gröbner basis of I , i.e., $\text{in}_<(I) = (\text{in}_<(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_<(g_s))$, it follows that there is g_{i_0} such that $\text{in}_<(g_{i_0})$ divides $\text{in}_<(f)$. Let $\text{in}_<(f) = w_0 \text{in}_<(g_{i_0})$ with $w_0 \in \text{Mon}(S)$. Let $h_0 = f - c_{i_0}^{-1} c_0 w_0 g_{i_0}$, where c_0 is the coefficient of $\text{in}_<(f)$ in f and where c_{i_0} is the coefficient of $\text{in}_<(g_{i_0})$ in g_{i_0} . Then $h_0 \in I$. Since $\text{in}_<(w_0 g_{i_0}) = w_0 \text{in}_<(g_{i_0})$ it follows that $\text{in}_<(h_0) < \text{in}_<(f)$. If $h_0 = 0$, then $f \in (g_1, \dots, g_s)$.

Let $h_0 \neq 0$. Then the same technique as we used for f can be applied for h_0 . Thus $h_1 = f - c_{i_1}^{-1} c_1 w_1 g_{i_1} - c_{i_0}^{-1} c_0 w_0 g_{i_0}$, where c_1 is the coefficient of $\text{in}_<(h_0)$ in h_0 and where c_{i_1} is the coefficient of $\text{in}_<(g_{i_1})$ in g_{i_1} . Then $h_1 \in I$ and $\text{in}_<(h_1) < \text{in}_<(h_0)$. If $h_1 = 0$, then $f \in (g_1, \dots, g_s)$.

If $h_1 \neq 0$, then we proceed as before. Lemma 7 guarantees that this procedure must terminate. Thus we obtain an expression of the form $f = \sum_{q=0}^N c_{i_q}^{-1} c_q w_q g_{i_q}$. In particular, f belongs to (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s) . Thus $I = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s)$, as desired. \square

Corollary 9 (HILBERT BASIS THEOREM). *Every ideal of the polynomial ring $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is finitely generated.*

It is natural to ask if the converse of Theorem 8 is true or false. That is to say, if $I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s)$ is an ideal of $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then does there exist a monomial order $<$ on S such that $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$?

Example 10 ([4]). Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}]$ and I the ideal of S generated by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1 x_8 - x_2 x_6, & f_2 &= x_2 x_9 - x_3 x_7, & f_3 &= x_3 x_{10} - x_4 x_8, \\ f_4 &= x_4 x_6 - x_5 x_9, & f_5 &= x_5 x_7 - x_1 x_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that there exists *no* monomial order $<$ on S such that $\{f_1, \dots, f_5\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists a monomial order $<$ on S such that $\mathcal{G} = \{f_1, \dots, f_5\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<$. First, note that each of the five polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 x_8 x_9 - x_3 x_6 x_7, \quad x_2 x_9 x_{10} - x_4 x_7 x_8, \quad x_2 x_6 x_{10} - x_5 x_7 x_8, \\ &x_3 x_6 x_{10} - x_5 x_8 x_9, \quad x_1 x_9 x_{10} - x_4 x_6 x_7 \end{aligned}$$

belongs to I . Let, say, $x_1 x_8 x_9 > x_3 x_6 x_7$. Since $x_1 x_8 x_9 \in \text{in}_<(I)$, there is $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{in}_<(g)$ divides $x_1 x_8 x_9$. Such $g \in \mathcal{G}$ must be f_1 . Hence $x_1 x_8 > x_2 x_6$. Thus $x_2 x_6 \notin \text{in}_<(I)$. Hence there exists no $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{in}_<(g)$ divides $x_2 x_6 x_{10}$. Hence $x_2 x_6 x_{10} < x_5 x_7 x_8$. Thus $x_5 x_7 > x_1 x_{10}$. Continuing these arguments, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 x_8 x_9 &> x_3 x_6 x_7, & x_2 x_9 x_{10} &> x_4 x_7 x_8, & x_2 x_6 x_{10} &< x_5 x_7 x_8, \\ x_3 x_6 x_{10} &> x_5 x_8 x_9, & x_1 x_9 x_{10} &< x_4 x_6 x_7 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_1x_8 &> x_2x_6, & x_2x_9 &> x_3x_7, & x_3x_{10} &> x_4x_8, \\ x_4x_6 &> x_5x_9, & x_5x_7 &> x_1x_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2) \quad (x_1x_8)(x_2x_9)(x_3x_{10})(x_4x_6)(x_5x_7) > (x_2x_6)(x_3x_7)(x_4x_8)(x_5x_9)(x_1x_{10}).$$

The opposite relation in (2) occurs in case of $x_1x_8x_9 < x_3x_6x_7$. However, both sides of the inequality (2) coincide with $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{10}$.

In high school mathematics, we learn that, given polynomials f and $g \neq 0$ in one variable x , there exist unique polynomials q and r such that $f = qg + r$, where either $r = 0$ or $\deg r < \deg g$. The division algorithm generalizes this well-known result.

Theorem 11 (DIVISION ALGORITHM). *Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K and fix a monomial order $<$ on S . Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s be nonzero polynomials of S . Then, given a polynomial $0 \neq f \in S$, there exist polynomials f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s and f' of S with*

$$(3) \quad f = f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \cdots + f_sg_s + f'$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) if $f' \neq 0$ and if $u \in \text{supp}(f')$, then none of $\text{in}_<(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_<(g_s)$ divides u , i.e., no $u \in \text{supp}(f')$ belongs to $(\text{in}_<(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_<(g_s))$;
- (ii) if $f_i \neq 0$, then

$$\text{in}_<(f_i g_i) \leq \text{in}_<(f).$$

The right hand side of equation (3) is said to be a *standard expression* for f with respect to g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s , and the polynomial f' is called a *remainder* of f with respect to g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s .

Instead of giving a detailed proof of Theorem 11, we discuss a typical example which clearly explains the procedure to obtain a standard expression.

Example 12. Let $<_{\text{lex}}$ denote the lexicographic order on $S = K[x, y, z]$ induced by $x > y > z$. Let $g_1 = x^2 - z$, $g_2 = xy - 1$ and $f = x^3 - x^2y - x^2 - 1$. Each of

$$\begin{aligned} f &= x^3 - x^2y - x^2 - 1 = x(g_1 + z) - x^2y - x^2 - 1 \\ &= xg_1 - x^2y - x^2 + xz - 1 = xg_1 - (g_1 + z)y - x^2 + xz - 1 \\ &= xg_1 - yg_1 - x^2 + xz - yz - 1 = xg_1 - yg_1 - (g_1 + z) + xz - yz - 1 \\ &= (x - y - 1)g_1 + (xz - yz - z - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f &= x^3 - x^2y - x^2 - 1 = x(g_1 + z) - x^2y - x^2 - 1 \\ &= xg_1 - x^2y - x^2 + xz - 1 = xg_1 - x(g_2 + 1) - x^2 + xz - 1 \\ &= xg_1 - xg_2 - x^2 + xz - x - 1 = xg_1 - xg_2 - (g_1 + z) + xz - x - 1 \\ &= (x - 1)g_1 - xg_2 + (xz - x - z - 1) \end{aligned}$$

is a standard expression of f with respect to g_1 and g_2 , and each of $xz - yz - z - 1$ and $xz - x - z - 1$ is a remainder of f .

Example 12 says that a remainder of a nonzero polynomial may not be unique. However, we have the following fact.

Lemma 13. *If $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I = (g_1, \dots, g_s)$, then for any nonzero polynomial f of S , there is a unique remainder of f with respect to g_1, \dots, g_s .*

Proof. Suppose there exist remainders f' and f'' with respect to g_1, \dots, g_s with $f' \neq f''$. Since $0 \neq f' - f'' \in I$, the initial monomial $w = \text{in}_<(f' - f'')$ must belong to $\text{in}_<(I)$. However, since $w \in \text{supp}(f') \cup \text{supp}(f'')$, none of the monomials $\text{in}_<(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_<(g_s)$ divides w . Hence $\text{in}_<(I) \neq (\text{in}_<(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_<(g_s))$. \square

Given nonzero polynomials f and g of S , the notation $\text{lcm}(\text{in}_<(f), \text{in}_<(g))$ stands for the least common multiple of $\text{in}_<(f)$ and $\text{in}_<(g)$. Let c_f denote the coefficient of $\text{in}_<(f)$ in f and c_g the coefficient of $\text{in}_<(g)$ in g . The polynomial

$$S(f, g) = \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}_<(f), \text{in}_<(g))}{c_f \text{in}_<(f)} f - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}_<(f), \text{in}_<(g))}{c_g \text{in}_<(g)} g$$

is called the S -polynomial of f and g .

We say that f has remainder 0 with respect to g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s if, in the division algorithm, there is a standard expression (3) of f with respect to g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s with $f' = 0$.

Lemma 14. *Let f and g be nonzero polynomials and suppose that $\text{in}_<(f)$ and $\text{in}_<(g)$ are relatively prime, i.e., $\text{lcm}(\text{in}_<(f), \text{in}_<(g)) = \text{in}_<(f) \text{in}_<(g)$. Then $S(f, g)$ has remainder 0 with respect to f, g .*

Proof. To simplify notation we will assume that each of the coefficients of $\text{in}_<(f)$ in f and $\text{in}_<(g)$ in g is equal to 1. Let $f = \text{in}_<(f) + f_1$ and $g = \text{in}_<(g) + g_1$. Since $\text{in}_<(f)$ and $\text{in}_<(g)$ are relatively prime, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(f, g) &= \text{in}_<(g)f - \text{in}_<(f)g \\ &= (g - g_1)f - (f - f_1)g \\ &= f_1g - g_1f. \end{aligned}$$

We claim $(\text{in}_<(f_1) \text{in}_<(g) =) \text{in}_<(f_1g) \neq \text{in}_<(g_1f) (= \text{in}_<(g_1) \text{in}_<(f))$. In fact, if $\text{in}_<(f_1) \text{in}_<(g) = \text{in}_<(g_1) \text{in}_<(f)$, then, since $\text{in}_<(f)$ and $\text{in}_<(g)$ are relatively prime, it follows that $\text{in}_<(f)$ must divide $\text{in}_<(f_1)$. However, since $\text{in}_<(f_1) < \text{in}_<(f)$, this is impossible. Let, say, $\text{in}_<(f_1) \text{in}_<(g) < \text{in}_<(g_1) \text{in}_<(f)$. Then $\text{in}_<(S(f, g)) = \text{in}_<(g_1f)$ and $S(f, g) = f_1g - g_1f$ turns out to be a standard expression of $S(f, g)$ in terms of f and g . Hence $S(f, g)$ has remainder 0 with respect to f and g , and similarly for $\text{in}_<(g_1) \text{in}_<(f) < \text{in}_<(f_1) \text{in}_<(g)$. \square

We now come to the most fundamental theorem in the theory of Gröbner bases.

Theorem 15 (BUCHBERGER CRITERION). *Let I be a nonzero ideal of S and $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$ a system of generators of I . Then \mathcal{G} is a Gröbner basis of I if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

(*) *For all $i \neq j$, $S(g_i, g_j)$ has remainder 0 with respect to g_1, \dots, g_s .*

We refer the reader to a standard textbook on Gröbner bases, e.g., [1], [2] and [3] for a proof of the Buchberger criterion. However, for a (general) Gröbner basis “user,” it may not be required to understand a detailed proof of the Buchberger criterion.

In Example 6, by using Lemma 14 together with the Buchberger criterion, it follows immediately that the set $\{f, g\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I = (f, g)$ with respect to the reverse lexicographic order $<_{\text{rev}}$ induced by $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_7$.

The Buchberger criterion supplies an algorithm to compute a Gröbner basis starting from a system of generators of an ideal.

Let $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$ be a system of generators of a nonzero ideal I of S and suppose that $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$ is *not* a Gröbner basis of I . The Buchberger criterion then guarantees that there is an S -polynomial $S(g_i, g_j)$ such that *no* remainder of $S(g_i, g_j)$ with respect to g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s is 0. Let $h_{ij} \in I$ be a remainder of a standard expression of $S(g_i, g_j)$ with respect to g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s . Then $\text{in}_{<}(h_{ij})$ can be divided by none of the monomials $\text{in}_{<}(g_1), \text{in}_{<}(g_2), \dots, \text{in}_{<}(g_s)$. In other words, the inclusion

$$(\text{in}_{<}(g_1), \text{in}_{<}(g_2), \dots, \text{in}_{<}(g_s)) \subset (\text{in}_{<}(g_1), \text{in}_{<}(g_2), \dots, \text{in}_{<}(g_s), \text{in}_{<}(h_{ij})).$$

is strict. With setting $g_{s+1} = h_{ij}$, suppose that $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s, g_{s+1}\}$ is not a Gröbner basis of I . Again, by using the Buchberger criterion, there is a S -polynomial $S(g_k, g_\ell)$ such that no remainder of $S(g_k, g_\ell)$ with respect to $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s, g_{s+1}$ is 0. Let $h_{k\ell} \in I$ be a remainder of $S(g_k, g_\ell)$ with respect to $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s, g_{s+1}$. Then the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{in}_{<}(g_1), \text{in}_{<}(g_2), \dots, \text{in}_{<}(g_s), \text{in}_{<}(g_{s+1})) \\ \subset (\text{in}_{<}(g_1), \text{in}_{<}(g_2), \dots, \text{in}_{<}(g_s), \text{in}_{<}(g_{s+1}), \text{in}_{<}(h_{k\ell})). \end{aligned}$$

is strict. By virtue of Dickson’s lemma, these procedures must terminate after a finite number of steps, and a Gröbner basis of I can be obtained.

The above algorithm to find a Gröbner basis starting from a system of generators of an ideal is said to be the *Buchberger algorithm*.

Example 16. We continue Example 6. Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_7]$ and $<_{\text{lex}}$ the lexicographic order on S induced by $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_7$. Let $f = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$ and $g = x_4x_7 - x_5x_6$. Thus $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(f) = x_1x_4$ and $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(g) = x_4x_7$. Let $I = (f, g)$. Then $\{f, g\}$ is not a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<_{\text{lex}}$. Now, as a remainder of $S(f, g) = x_7f - x_1g = x_1x_5x_6 - x_2x_3x_7$ with respect to f and g , we choose $S(f, g)$ itself. Let $h = x_1x_5x_6 - x_2x_3x_7$ with $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(h) = x_1x_5x_6$. Then $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(g)$ and $\text{in}_{<_{\text{lex}}}(h)$ are relatively prime. On the other hand, $S(f, h) = x_2x_3(x_4x_7 - x_5x_6)$ has remainder 0 with respect to f, g, h . It follows from the Buchberger criterion that $\{f, g, h\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<_{\text{lex}}$.

The following theorem is called *Elimination Theorem* and plays an important role when solving a system of equations.

Theorem 17. *Let $S' = K[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}]$ be the subring of $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_m \leq n$ and let $<$ a monomial order on S (and S'). Let \mathcal{G} denote a Gröbner basis of a nonzero ideal I of S with respect to $<$. If $<$ satisfies the condition*

$$(\#) \quad g \in \mathcal{G}, \text{in}_{<}(g) \in S' \implies g \in S'$$

then $\mathcal{G} \cap S'$ is a Gröbner basis of $I \cap S'$ with respect to $<$.

Proof. Let u be a monomial belonging to $\text{in}_<(I \cap S')$. Then there exists a polynomial ($0 \neq$) $f \in I \cap S'$ such that $\text{in}_<(f) = u$. Since $f \in I$, the initial monomial u belongs to $\text{in}_<(I)$. Hence there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{in}_<(g)$ divides u . Then $\text{in}_<(g)$ belongs to S' . Thanks to the condition (\sharp) , we have $g \in S'$ and hence $g \in \mathcal{G} \cap S'$. Thus $\text{in}_<(I \cap S')$ is generated by $\{\text{in}_<(g) : g \in \mathcal{G} \cap S'\}$ as desired. \square

Example 18. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ be vectors belonging to \mathbb{Z}_+^n . We define the total order $<_{\text{plex}}$ on $\text{Mon}(S)$ by setting $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} <_{\text{plex}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ if the left-most nonzero component of the vector $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$ is negative. It follows that $<_{\text{plex}}$ is a monomial order on S , which is called the *purely lexicographic order* on S induced by the ordering $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$. If $S' = K[x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$ is a subring of $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then the condition (\sharp) in Theorem 17 holds for a Gröbner basis \mathcal{G} of an arbitrary ideal I of S with respect to $<_{\text{plex}}$.

Let $f_1, \dots, f_s, g_1, \dots, g_t \in S$. It is easy to see that, if $(f_1, \dots, f_s) = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$ holds, then the set of solutions of $f_1 = \dots = f_s = 0$ equals to that of $g_1 = \dots = g_t = 0$. Thus, one can eliminate the variables x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} from $f_1 = \dots = f_s = 0$ by computing a system of generators of $I \cap K[x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$. Thanks to Theorem 8, we can apply Elimination Theorem to eliminate variables from a system of equations.

Example 19 ([3]). Let $f_1 = x^2 + y + z - 1$, $f_2 = x + y^2 + z - 1$ and $f_3 = x + y + z^2 - 1$ and consider the system of equations $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$. Let $I = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$. Then $\{x + y + z^2 - 1, y^2 - y - z^2 + z, 2yz^2 + z^4 - z^2, z^6 - 4z^4 + 4z^3 - z^2\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $<_{\text{plex}}$ induced by $x > y > z$. Thus, thanks to Theorem 17,

$$\begin{aligned} I \cap \mathbb{C}[z] &= (z^6 - 4z^4 + 4z^3 - z^2) \\ I \cap \mathbb{C}[y, z] &= (y^2 - y - z^2 + z, 2yz^2 + z^4 - z^2, z^6 - 4z^4 + 4z^3 - z^2) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $z^6 - 4z^4 + 4z^3 - z^2 = z^2(z-1)^2(z^2 + 2z - 1)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Adams and P. Loustaunau, "An Introduction to Gröbner Bases," Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [2] T. Becker and V. Weispfenning, "Gröbner Bases," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little and D. O'Shea, "Ideals, Varieties and Algorithms," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1992.
- [4] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Toric ideals generated by quadratic binomials, *J. Algebra* **218** (1999), 509–527.

TAKAYUKI HIBI, DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN.

E-mail address: hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, RIKKYO UNIVERSITY, TOSHIMA-KU, TOKYO 171-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: ohsugi@rkmath.rikkyo.ac.jp