

**$q$ -difference shift for  
van Diejen's  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral  
arising from 'elementary' symmetric polynomials \***

Masahiko ITO

**Abstract**

We study a  $q$ -difference equation of a  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral, which is a multiple  $q$ -series generalized from a  $q$ -analogue of Selberg's integral. The equation is characterized by some new symmetric polynomials defined via the symplectic Schur functions. As an application of it, we give another proof of a product formula for the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral, which is equivalent to the so-called  $q$ -Macdonald-Morris identity for the root system  $BC_n$  first obtained by Gustafson and van Diejen.

## 1 Introduction

As it is known, the beta integral

$$B(\alpha, \beta) := \int_0^1 z^{\alpha-1}(1-z)^{\beta-1} dz \quad (1)$$

is written as the following product of the gamma functions  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ :

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}. \quad (2)$$

Since the gamma function satisfies  $\Gamma(\alpha+1) = \alpha\Gamma(\alpha)$ , we easily see the following recurrence relations:

$$B(\alpha+1, \beta) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} B(\alpha, \beta), \quad B(\alpha, \beta+1) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} B(\alpha, \beta). \quad (3)$$

We regard these relations as difference equations with respect to parameters. Among the solutions of (3), the beta function  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  is characterized by the following asymptotic behavior:

$$B(\alpha+N, \beta+N) \sim 2^{-\alpha-\beta+1-2N} \sqrt{\pi/N} \quad (N \rightarrow +\infty). \quad (4)$$

Conversely, we can recover the formula (2) from (3) and (4). Since

$$B(\alpha+1, \beta) = \int_0^1 z\Phi(z) dz \quad \text{or} \quad B(\alpha, \beta+1) = \int_0^1 \Phi(z) dz - \int_0^1 z\Phi(z) dz,$$

---

\*This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) no.15540045 of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

where  $\Phi(z)$  denotes the integrand  $z^{\alpha-1}(1-z)^{\beta-1}$ , if we want to have the recurrence relations (3) without using (2), we usually prove the following relation from the definition (1) using integration by part:

$$\int_0^1 z\Phi(z)dz = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_0^1 \Phi(z)dz,$$

which provides the equation between the integral (1) and that multiplied by a monomial  $z$  to the integrand  $\Phi(z)$ .

Next we consider the following  $q$ -Selberg integral [4, 6, 7, 10, 18, 20] defined by using the Jackson integral which is a sum over the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  (For the definition of the Jackson integral, see Section 3):

$$S_q(\alpha, \beta, \tau; \xi) := \int_0^{\xi\infty} \Phi_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) \Delta_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) \varpi_q, \quad \varpi_q = \frac{d_q z_1}{z_1} \dots \frac{d_q z_n}{z_n}$$

where the integrand is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) &:= \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^\alpha \frac{(qz_i)_\infty}{(bz_i)_\infty} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} z_k^{2\tau} \frac{(qt^{-1}z_j/z_k)_\infty}{(tz_j/z_k)_\infty} \\ \Delta_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) &:= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (z_k - z_j) \end{aligned}$$

and  $q^\alpha = a, q^\beta = b, q^\tau = t$ . Let  $\eta \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$  be the point defined by

$$\eta := (t^{n-1}, t^{n-2}, \dots, t, 1).$$

For the Jackson integral  $S_q(\alpha, \beta, \tau; \xi)$ , if we put  $\xi = \eta$  and take the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ , then the sum  $S_q(\alpha, \beta, \tau; \eta)$  becomes the following so-called Selberg integral:

$$S(\alpha, \beta, \tau) = \int_{0 \leq z_1 \leq \dots \leq z_n \leq 1} \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{\alpha-1} (1-z_i)^{\beta-1} \Delta_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z)^{2\tau} dz_1 \dots dz_n \quad (5)$$

which can be expressed as a product of gamma functions as

$$S(\alpha, \beta, \tau) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(i\tau) \Gamma(\alpha + (n-i)\tau) \Gamma(\beta + (n-i)\tau)}{\Gamma(\tau) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + (2n-i-1)\tau)}.$$

The Selberg integral (5) is nothing but the beta function if  $n = 1$ . For the  $q$ -Selberg integral  $S_q(\alpha, \beta, \tau; \xi)$ , it is also possible to express it as a product of  $q$ -gamma functions by using its  $q$ -difference equation and its asymptotic behavior. To carry it out we need the  $q$ -difference equation first. According to Aomoto [3], the following formula is known:

**Proposition 1.1** *Let  $e_i(z)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , be the  $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial, i.e.,*

$$e_i(z) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq n} z_{j_1} z_{j_2} \dots z_{j_i}.$$

$$\int_0^{\xi\infty} e_i(z) \Phi_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) \Delta_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) \varpi_q$$

$$= t^{i-1} \frac{(1-t^{n-i+1})(1-at^{n-i})}{(1-t^i)(1-abt^{2n-i-1})} \int_0^{\xi\infty} e_{i-1}(z) \Phi_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) \Delta_{\mathcal{S}_n}(z) \varpi_q.$$

Since  $S_q(\alpha + 1, \beta, \tau; \xi) = \int_0^{\xi\infty} z_1 z_2 \dots z_n \Phi_{S_n}(z) \Delta_{S_n}(z) \varpi_q$ , we easily have the following  $q$ -difference equation by repeated use of Proposition 1.1:

$$S_q(\alpha + 1, \beta, \tau; \xi) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{t^{i-1}(1 - at^{n-i})}{(1 - abt^{2n-i-1})} S_q(\alpha, \beta, \tau; \xi), \quad (6)$$

which can be found in [20] and in [1, 2] for the case  $\xi = \eta$  and  $q \rightarrow 1$ . For the  $q$ -Selberg integral  $S_q(\alpha, \beta, \tau; \xi)$ , we can construct its product expression of  $q$ -gamma functions from its  $q$ -difference equation (6) and asymptotic behavior of  $S_q(\alpha + N, \beta, \tau; \eta)$  at  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ . (For explicit form of it, see [4, 20].)

In this paper we discuss a structure of product expression of a multiple sum generalized from the  $q$ -Selberg integral. We call it the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral (See Section 3 for its definition). Like the  $q$ -Selberg integral case, for the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral there also exist symmetric polynomials  $e'_i(z)$  of middle degree  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , such that they interpolate a  $q$ -difference equation with respect to the parameter shift  $a_1 \rightarrow qa_1$  as follows:

**Theorem 1.2** *There exist symmetric Laurent polynomials  $e'_i(z)$  of degree  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\xi\infty} e'_i(z) \Phi_{B_n}(z) \Delta_{C_n}(z) \varpi_q \\ &= - \frac{t^{i-1}(1 - t^{n-i+1}) \prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - a_k a_1 t^{n-i})}{t^{n-i}(1 - t^i) a_1 (1 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 t^{2n-i-1})} \int_0^{\xi\infty} e'_{i-1}(z) \Phi_{B_n}(z) \Delta_{C_n}(z) \varpi_q. \end{aligned}$$

Considering an analogy to Proposition 1.1, we call the polynomials  $e'_i(z)$  the ‘*elementary symmetric polynomials*’, which are different from the symplectic Schur functions  $\chi_{(1^i)}(z)$  though the polynomials  $\chi_{(1^i)}(z)$  are sometimes called the *elementary symmetric polynomials*. (See Section 2 for the definition of  $\chi_{(1^i)}(z)$ ). Moreover, the explicit forms of them are the following (Note the number of variables in the RHS):

$$\begin{aligned} e'_0(z) &= 1, \\ e'_1(z) &= \chi_{(1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) - \chi_{(1)}(a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-1}), \\ e'_2(z) &= \chi_{(1^2)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &\quad - \chi_{(1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \chi_{(1)}(a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \chi_{(2)}(a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-2}), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ e'_i(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j \chi_{(1^{i-j})}(\underbrace{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n}_n) \chi_{(j)}(\underbrace{a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-i}}_{n-i+1}), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ e'_n(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \chi_{(1^{n-j})}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \chi_{(j)}(a_1). \end{aligned}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first state a relation between the symplectic Schur functions  $\chi_{(1^i)}(z)$  and  $\chi_{(j)}(z)$ . The relation is used in Section 4 for

proving a property of the ‘elementary’ symmetric function. In Section 3, we introduce the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral and its *truncated* case. In Section 4, we define the ‘elementary’ symmetric function for the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2, which is a main result of this paper. In Section 6, as a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we construct a product formula for the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral as if we recover the product expression (2) of the beta function from  $q$ -difference equations (3) and asymptotic behavior (4). This is to be another proof of the product formula, which is equivalent to the so-called  $q$ -Macdonald-Morris identity [21, 25] for the root system  $BC_n$  first obtained by Gustafson [9] and van Diejen [26]. (See also [16, 23] for relations between  $q$ -Macdonald-Morris identities and the Jackson integrals associated with root systems.)

Throughout this paper we use the notations  $(x)_\infty := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i x)$  and  $(x)_N := (x)_\infty / (q^N x)_\infty$  where  $0 < q < 1$ .

## 2 Symplectic Schur functions $\chi_\lambda(z)$

Before introducing the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral, we prove Proposition 2.4, which will be used technically when we state a property of the ‘elementary’ symmetric polynomials in Section 4. The formula in Proposition 2.4 indicates some relation among the symplectic Schur functions  $\chi_\lambda(z)$ . The relation is very similar to those between the elementary symmetric functions and the complete symmetric functions (see [8, 22] for instance).

### 2.1 Definition of the symplectic Schur functions $\chi_\lambda(z)$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}(z)$  be the function of  $z \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$  defined in the form of the following determinant:

$$\mathcal{A}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}(z) := \det (z_j^{i_k} - z_j^{-i_k})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

for  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ . For example,

$$\mathcal{A}_{(3, 2, 1)}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \begin{vmatrix} z_1^3 - z_1^{-3} & z_2^3 - z_2^{-3} & z_3^3 - z_3^{-3} \\ z_1^2 - z_1^{-2} & z_2^2 - z_2^{-2} & z_3^2 - z_3^{-2} \\ z_1 - z_1^{-1} & z_2 - z_2^{-1} & z_3 - z_3^{-1} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{(5, 2)}(z_1, z_2) = \begin{vmatrix} z_1^5 - z_1^{-5} & z_2^5 - z_2^{-5} \\ z_1^2 - z_1^{-2} & z_2^2 - z_2^{-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{and so on.}$$

Let  $W_{C_n}$  be the Weyl group of type  $C_n$ , which is isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n$  where  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is the symmetric group of  $n$ th order.  $W_{C_n}$  is generated by the following transformations of the coordinates  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) &\rightarrow (z_1^{-1}, z_2, \dots, z_n), \\ (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) &\rightarrow (z_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)}) \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n. \end{aligned}$$

For a function  $f(z)$  of  $z \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{A}f(z)$  the alternating sum over  $W_{C_n}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{A}f(z) := \sum_{w \in W_{C_n}} (\text{sgn } w) w f(z).$$

In particular, by definition of determinant,  $\mathcal{A}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}(z)$  is expanded as the following alternating sum of the monomial  $z_1^{i_1} z_2^{i_2} \dots z_n^{i_n}$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)}(z) = \mathcal{A}(z_1^{i_1} z_2^{i_2} \dots z_n^{i_n})$$

for  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n (z_i - z_i^{-1}) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{(z_k - z_j)(1 - z_j z_k)}{z_j z_k} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\rho := (n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1) \in \mathbf{Z}^n,$$

which is the so-called Weyl denominator formula. Let  $P$  be the set of partitions defined by

$$P := \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n; \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0\}.$$

For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in P$ , we define the *symplectic Schur function*  $\chi_\lambda(z)$  as follows:

$$\chi_\lambda(z) := \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\lambda+\rho}(z)}{\mathcal{A}_\rho(z)} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{(\lambda_1+n, \lambda_2+n-1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}+2, \lambda_n+1)}(z)}{\mathcal{A}_{(n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)}(z)},$$

which occurs in the Weyl character formula. For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in P$ , if we denote by  $m_i$  the multiplicity of  $i$  in  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $m_i = \#\{j; \lambda_j = i\}$ , it is convenient to use the notations

$$\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots r^{m_r} \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_\lambda(z) = \chi_{(1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots r^{m_r} \dots)}(z).$$

For example, we use them like  $\chi_{(2,1,1,0)}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \chi_{(1^2 2)}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ .

## 2.2 A relation among $\chi_\lambda(z)$

For  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we define the determinant  $D_i^{(n)}(z, y)$  of a matrix of degree  $n + i + 1$  as follows:

$$D_i^{(n)}(z, y) := \begin{vmatrix} A_{n+1, n}(z) & A_{n+1, i+1}(y) \\ A_{i, n}(z) & -A_{i, i+1}(y) \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $A_{\mu, \nu}(z)$  is the  $\mu \times \nu$  matrix defined by

$$A_{\mu, \nu}(z) := \begin{pmatrix} z_1^\mu - z_1^{-\mu} & z_2^\mu - z_2^{-\mu} & \dots & z_\nu^\mu - z_\nu^{-\mu} \\ z_1^{\mu-1} - z_1^{-(\mu-1)} & z_2^{\mu-1} - z_2^{-(\mu-1)} & \dots & z_\nu^{\mu-1} - z_\nu^{-(\mu-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_1^2 - z_1^{-2} & z_2^2 - z_2^{-2} & \dots & z_\nu^2 - z_\nu^{-2} \\ z_1 - z_1^{-1} & z_2 - z_2^{-1} & \dots & z_\nu - z_\nu^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 2.1** *The determinant  $D_i^{(n)}(z, y)$  is divided out by*

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, n-1, \dots, k+1, k-1, \dots, 2, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \times \mathcal{A}_{(k, i, i-1, i-2, \dots, 2, 1)}(y_1, \dots, y_{i+1}). \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** Separating  $(n+1)$  columns of  $D_i^{(n)}(z, y)$  into two parts which are the forward part to the  $n$ th column and that backward from the  $n+1$  one, we have a Laplace expansion (8) of  $D_i^{(n)}(z, y)$  by minors of sizes  $n$  and  $i+1$  up to constant.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** *The following holds for  $\chi_\lambda(z)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\rho(z)$ :*

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, \dots, 1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, z_{n+1})}{\mathcal{A}_{(n, n-1, \dots, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mathcal{A}_{(1)}(z_{n+1})} = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \chi_{(1^{n-j})}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \chi_{(j)}(z_{n+1}).$$

**Proof.** If we put  $y_1 = z_{n+1}$  for  $D_0^{(n)}(z, y)$ , then

$$D_0^{(n)}(z, y) = \mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, \dots, 1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, z_{n+1}). \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_0^{(n)}(z, y) & \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, n-1, \dots, k+1, k-1, \dots, 2, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mathcal{A}_{(k)}(y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From (9) and (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, \dots, 1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, z_{n+1}) & \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, n-1, \dots, k+1, k-1, \dots, 2, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mathcal{A}_{(k)}(z_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Dividing both sides of (11) by  $\mathcal{A}_{(n, n-1, \dots, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mathcal{A}_{(1)}(z_{n+1})$ , we obtain Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** *If we put  $y_j = z_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, i+1\}$ , then  $D_i^{(n)}(z, z) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Set  $y_j = z_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq i+1$ ). For the determinant

$$D_i^{(n)}(z, z) = \begin{vmatrix} A_{n+1, n}(z) & A_{n+1, i+1}(z) \\ A_{i, n}(z) & -A_{i, i+1}(z) \end{vmatrix},$$

if we subtract the  $j$ th column from the  $(n+j)$ th one for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, i+1$ , by the elementary column operations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_i^{(n)}(z, z) &= \begin{vmatrix} A_{n+1, n}(z) & O \\ A_{i, n}(z) & -2A_{i, i+1}(z) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-2)^{i+1} \begin{vmatrix} A_{n+1, n}(z) & O \\ A_{i, n}(z) & A_{i, i+1}(z) \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since the rank of the  $i \times (i+1)$  matrix  $A_{i, i+1}(z)$  is less than or equal to  $i$ , after the processes of the elementary column operations the matrix  $A_{i, i+1}(z)$  can be deformed

into an  $i \times (i + 1)$  matrix  $B$  which has at least one column consisting of zeros only. Thus, the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_{n+1,n}(z) & O \\ A_{i,n}(z) & A_{i,i+1}(z) \end{vmatrix}$$

is divided out by

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_{n+1,n}(z) & O \\ A_{i,n}(z) & B \end{vmatrix},$$

which has the column consisting of zeros and is equal to zero. This implies  $D_i^{(n)}(z, z) = 0$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4** *The following holds for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ :*

$$\sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j \chi_{(1^{i-j})}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \chi_{(j)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-i+1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \neq 0), \\ 1 & (i = 0). \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.3 and 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{A}_{(n+1,n,n-1,\dots,k+1,k-1,\dots,2,1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ & \quad \times \mathcal{A}_{(k,i,i-1,i-2,\dots,2,1)}(z_1, \dots, z_{i+1}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Divide both sides of (12) by  $\mathcal{A}_{(n,n-1,\dots,1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mathcal{A}_{(i+1,i,\dots,1)}(z_1, \dots, z_{i+1})$ . Exchanging  $i$  with  $n - i$ , we obtain Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

### 3 Definition of $BC_n$ type Jackson integral

For  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{B_n}(z) & := \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{m=1}^4 z_i^{1/2 - \alpha_m} \frac{(qa_m^{-1} z_i)_\infty}{(a_m z_i)_\infty} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} z_j^{1-2\tau} \frac{(qt^{-1} z_j / z_k)_\infty (qt^{-1} z_j z_k)_\infty}{(tz_j / z_k)_\infty (tz_j z_k)_\infty}, \\ \Delta_{C_n}(z) & := \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - z_i^2}{z_i} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{(1 - z_j / z_k)(1 - z_j z_k)}{z_j} \end{aligned}$$

where  $q^{\alpha_m} = a_m, q^\tau = t$ . We abbreviate  $\Phi_{B_n}(z)$  and  $\Delta_{C_n}(z)$  to  $\Phi(z)$  and  $\Delta(z)$  respectively. Weyl's denominator formula (7) says

$$\Delta(z) = (-1)^n \mathcal{A}_{(n,n-1,\dots,1)}(z). \tag{13}$$

For an arbitrary  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ , we define the  $q$ -shift  $\xi \rightarrow q^\nu \xi$  by a lattice point  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ , where

$$q^\nu \xi := (q^{\nu_1} \xi_1, q^{\nu_2} \xi_2, \dots, q^{\nu_n} \xi_n) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n.$$

For  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$  and a function  $h(z)$  of  $z \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ , we define the sum over the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  by

$$\int_0^{\xi_1 \infty} \cdots \int_0^{\xi_n \infty} h(z) \frac{d_q z_1}{z_1} \cdots \frac{d_q z_n}{z_n} := (1-q)^n \sum_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}^n} h(q^\nu \xi), \quad (14)$$

which we call the *Jackson integral* if it converges. We abbreviate the LHS of (14) to  $\int_0^{\xi \infty} h(z) \varpi_q$ . We now define the Jackson integral whose integrand is  $\Phi(z)\Delta(z)$  as follows:

$$J(\xi) := \int_0^{\xi \infty} \Phi(z)\Delta(z)\varpi_q, \quad (15)$$

which converges if

$$|a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 t^{n+i-2}| > q \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$\begin{cases} t\xi_j/\xi_k, t\xi_j\xi_k \notin \{q^l; l \in \mathbf{Z}\} & \text{for } 1 \leq j < k \leq n, \\ a_m \xi_i \notin \{q^l; l \in \mathbf{Z}\} & \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq 4, 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

We call the sum  $J(\xi)$  the *BC<sub>n</sub> type Jackson integral*. The sum  $J(\xi)$  is invariant under the shifts  $\xi \rightarrow q^\nu \xi$  for  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ .

Since  $(q^{1+m})_\infty = 0$  if  $m$  is a negative integer, for the special point

$$\zeta := (t^{n-1}a_1, t^{n-2}a_1, \dots, ta_1, a_1) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n,$$

it follows that

$$\Phi(q^\nu \zeta) = 0 \quad \text{if } \nu \notin D$$

where  $D$  forms the cone in the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  defined by

$$D := \{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}^n; \nu_1 - \nu_2 \geq 0, \nu_2 - \nu_3 \geq 0, \dots, \nu_{n-1} - \nu_n \geq 0 \text{ and } \nu_n \geq 0\}.$$

This implies that  $J(\zeta)$  is written as a sum over the cone  $D$  as follows:

$$J(\zeta) = (1-q)^n \sum_{\nu \in D} \Phi(q^\nu \zeta)\Delta(q^\nu \zeta) \quad (16)$$

We call its Jackson integral summed over  $D$  *truncated*. We just write

$$J(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta \Phi(z)\Delta(z)\varpi_q$$

omitting the notation  $\infty$  in its region only if  $\xi = \zeta$ .

Let  $\Theta(\xi)$  be the function defined by

$$\Theta(\xi) := \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi_i \theta(\xi_i^2)}{\prod_{m=1}^4 \xi_i^{\alpha_m} \theta(a_m \xi_i)} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\theta(\xi_j/\xi_k)\theta(\xi_j\xi_k)}{\xi_j^{2\tau} \theta(t\xi_j/\xi_k)\theta(t\xi_j\xi_k)} \quad (17)$$

where  $\theta(x) := (x)_\infty (q/x)_\infty$ . We state a lemma for the subsequent section.



**Lemma 3.1** *The Jackson integral  $J(\xi)$  is expressed as*

$$J(\xi) = C \Theta(\xi) \quad (18)$$

where  $C$  is a constant not depending on  $\xi \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$

**Proof.** See [14].  $\square$

We will discuss the constant  $C$  later in Section 6.

## 4 ‘Elementary’ symmetric polynomials $e'_i(z)$

For  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ , we define the following symmetric polynomials in terms of  $\chi_\lambda(z)$ :

$$e'_i(z) := \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j \chi_{(1^{i-j})}(\underbrace{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n}_n) \chi_{(j)}(\underbrace{a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-i}}_{n-i+1}), \quad (19)$$

which we call the  $i$ th ‘elementary’ symmetric polynomials as we mentioned in Introduction. In particular,

**Lemma 4.1** *The product expression of the  $n$ th ‘elementary’ symmetric polynomial  $e'_n(z)$  is the following:*

$$e'_n(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(a_1 - z_i)(1 - a_1 z_i)}{a_1 z_i}. \quad (20)$$

**Proof.** By using Weyl’s denominator formula (7), we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(a_1 - z_i)(1 - a_1 z_i)}{a_1 z_i} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, \dots, 1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, a_1)}{\mathcal{A}_{(n, n-1, \dots, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mathcal{A}_{(1)}(a_1)} \quad (21)$$

Taking  $z_{n+1} = a_1$  at Corollary 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{(n+1, n, \dots, 1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, a_1)}{\mathcal{A}_{(n, n-1, \dots, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mathcal{A}_{(1)}(a_1)} &= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \chi_{(1^{n-j})}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \chi_{(j)}(a_1) \\ &= e'_n(z). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

From (21) and (22), we have (20).  $\square$

Let  $x$  be a real number satisfying  $x > 0$ . For  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n + 1$ , we set

$$\zeta_i = (\zeta_{i1}, \zeta_{i2}, \dots, \zeta_{in}) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\zeta_{ij} := \begin{cases} x^{i-j} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < i, \\ t^{n-j} a_1 & \text{if } i \leq j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

The explicit expression of  $\zeta_i$  is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_1 &= (t^{n-1}a_1, t^{n-2}a_1, \dots, ta_1, a_1), \\
\zeta_2 &= (x, t^{n-2}a_1, t^{n-3}a_1, \dots, ta_1, a_1), \\
\zeta_3 &= (x^2, x, t^{n-3}a_1, t^{n-4}a_1, \dots, ta_1, a_1), \\
&\vdots \\
\zeta_n &= (x^{n-1}, \dots, x^2, x, a_1), \\
\zeta_{n+1} &= (x^n, x^{n-1}, \dots, x^2, x).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the point  $\zeta_1 \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$  is nothing but  $\zeta \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$  which is defined in Section 3.

**Lemma 4.2** *If  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$ , then*

$$e'_i(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{j-1}, a_1 t^{n-j}, a_1 t^{n-j-1}, \dots, a_1 t, a_1) = 0.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\chi_\lambda(z)$  is symmetric, by definition (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e'_i(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{j-1}, a_1 t^{n-j}, a_1 t^{n-j-1}, \dots, a_1 t, a_1) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \chi_{(1^{i-k})}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{j-1}, a_1 t^{n-j}, a_1 t^{n-j-1}, \dots, a_1 t, a_1) \\
&\quad \times \chi_{(k)}(a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-i}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \chi_{(1^{i-k})}(a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-i}, a_1 t^{n-i+1}, \dots, a_1 t^{n-j}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{j-1}) \\
&\quad \times \chi_{(k)}(a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-i}).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 2.4, the RHS of the above equation is equal to 0. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The explicit expression of Lemma 4.2 is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
e'_1(a_1 t^{n-1}, a_1 t^{n-2}, \dots, a_1 t, a_1) &= 0, \\
e'_2(z_1, a_1 t^{n-2}, \dots, a_1 t, a_1) &= 0, \\
&\vdots \\
e'_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, a_1) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

**Corollary 4.3** *If  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$ , then  $e'_i(\zeta_j) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** It is straightforward from definition (23) of  $\zeta_i$  and Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

## 5 Main theorem

In this section, to specify the number of variables  $n$ , we simply use the notations  $e_i^{(n)}(z)$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z)$  instead of the ‘elementary’ symmetric polynomials  $e_i'(z)$  and Weyl’s denominator  $\mathcal{A}_\rho(z)$  respectively. The notation  $(n)$  on the right shoulder of  $e_i$  or  $\mathcal{A}$  indicates the number of variables of  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  for  $e_i'(z)$  or  $\mathcal{A}_\rho(z)$ .

Let  $T_{z_1}$  be the  $q$ -shift of variable  $z_1$  such that  $T_{z_1} : z_1 \rightarrow qz_1$ . Set

$$\nabla\varphi(z) := \varphi(z) - \frac{T_{z_1}\Phi(z)}{\Phi(z)} T_{z_1}\varphi(z), \quad (24)$$

where  $T_{z_1}\Phi(z)/\Phi(z)$  is written as follows by definition:

$$\frac{T_{z_1}\Phi(z)}{\Phi(z)} = q^{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^4 \frac{(1 - a_k z_1)}{(a_k - qz_1)} \prod_{j=2}^n \frac{(1 - tz_1/z_j)(1 - tz_1 z_j)}{(t - qz_1/z_j)(t - qz_1 z_j)}.$$

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $\varphi(z)$  be an arbitrary function such that  $\int_0^{\xi\infty} \varphi(z) \Phi(z) \varpi_q$  converges. The following holds for  $\varphi(z)$ :*

$$\int_0^{\xi\infty} \Phi(z) \nabla\varphi(z) \varpi_q = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\int_0^{\xi\infty} \Phi(z) \mathcal{A}\nabla\varphi(z) \varpi_q = 0. \quad (25)$$

**Proof.** See [17, Lemma 5.1].  $\square$

Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\sigma_i$  be the reflections of the coordinates  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 & : z_1 \longleftrightarrow z_1^{-1}, \\ \sigma_i & : z_1 \longleftrightarrow z_i \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Weyl group  $W_{C_n}$  of type  $C_n$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n \rtimes \mathcal{S}_n$ , we may write

$$W_{C_n} = \langle \tau_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_n \rangle, \quad (26)$$

which means  $W_{C_n}$  is generated by  $\tau_1$  and  $\sigma_i$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be the functions defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) & := \prod_{m=1}^4 (a_m - z_1) \prod_{j=2}^n (t - z_1/z_j)(t - z_1 z_j), \\ g(z) & := \prod_{m=1}^4 (1 - a_m z_1) \prod_{j=2}^n (1 - tz_1/z_j)(1 - tz_1 z_j). \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , we set

$$f_i(z) := \sigma_i f(z), \quad g_i(z) := \sigma_i g(z) \quad (27)$$

and simply  $f_1(z) := f(z)$ ,  $g_1(z) := g(z)$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the explicit forms of  $f_i(z)$  and  $g_i(z)$  are the following:

$$f_i(z) = \prod_{m=1}^4 (a_m - z_i) \prod_{j \in I_i} (t - z_i/z_j)(t - z_i z_j), \quad (28)$$

$$g_i(z) = \prod_{m=1}^4 (1 - a_m z_i) \prod_{j \in I_i} (1 - t z_i/z_j)(1 - t z_i z_j), \quad (29)$$

where  $I_i := \{1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n\}$ . By definition, we have

$$\tau_1 \left( \frac{f_1(z)}{z_1^{n+1}} \right) = \frac{g_1(z)}{z_1^{n+1}}. \quad (30)$$

Let  $\bar{\varphi}_i(z)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be the function defined by

$$\bar{\varphi}_i(z) := \frac{\mathcal{A} \nabla \varphi_i(z)}{2}$$

where

$$\varphi_i(z) := \frac{f(z)}{z_1^{n+1}} z_2^{n-1} z_3^{n-2} \dots z_n e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n). \quad (31)$$

**Lemma 5.2** *The functions  $\bar{\varphi}_i(z)$  are expressed as*

$$\bar{\varphi}_i(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \frac{f_k(z) - g_k(z)}{z_k^{n+1}} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_k) \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_k) \quad (32)$$

where  $(\hat{z}_k) := (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{\varphi}_i(z)$  are expanded by the functions  $e_j^{(n)}(z) \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z)$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq i$ , as follows:

$$\bar{\varphi}_i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^i c_{ij} e_j^{(n)}(z) \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z). \quad (33)$$

**Proof.** By definition (24) of  $\nabla$  and (31), we have

$$\nabla \varphi_i(z) = \frac{f(z) - g(z)}{z_1^{n+1}} z_2^{n-1} z_3^{n-2} \dots z_n e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_1).$$

Then, from (26) and (30), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi}_i(z) &= \mathcal{A} \nabla \varphi_i(z) / 2 \\ &= \frac{f_1(z) - g_1(z)}{z_1^{n+1}} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_1) \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^n (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma_k) \sigma_k \left[ \frac{f_1(z) - g_1(z)}{z_1^{n+1}} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_1) \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_1) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Thus, we obtain the expression (32) by substituting (27) and the following for (34):

$$\operatorname{sgn} \sigma_k = -1, \quad \sigma_k e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_1) = e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k), \quad \sigma_k \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_1) = (-1)^k \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k).$$

Next, from the degrees of the monomials in the expansion of (31), we can obtain the expression (33). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3** *The following hold for  $f_k(z)$ ,  $g_k(z)$  and  $\zeta_j \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\zeta_j) &= 0 & \text{if } j \leq k \leq n, \\ g_k(\zeta_j) &= 0 & \text{if } j < k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \\ &= (-t)^{i-1} \frac{\prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - a_k a_1 t^{n-i})}{(1-t)(t^{n-i} a_1)^{n-i+2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-i} (1 - t^{j+1})(1 - t^{n-i+j} a_1^2). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

**Proof.** From (28),  $f_k(z)$  has the factor  $(t - z_k/z_{k+1})$  if  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , and has the factor  $(a_1 - z_n)$  if  $k = n$ . When  $z = \zeta_j$ , from definition (23) of  $\zeta_j$ , it follows that  $t - z_k/z_{k+1} = 0$  if  $j \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $a_1 - z_n = 0$  if  $j \leq n$ . Thus  $f_k(\zeta_j) = 0$  if  $j \leq k \leq n$ . From (29), it follows that  $g_k(z)$  has the factor  $(1 - tz_k/z_{k-1})$ , so that  $g_k(\zeta_j) = 0$  if  $j+1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Next, we prove the latter part of Lemma 5.3. From (29), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} &= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^4 (1 - a_k z_i)}{z_i^{n+1}} \\ &\quad \times (z_1 - tz_i)(z_2 - tz_i) \dots (z_{i-1} - tz_i) \\ &\quad \times (1 - tz_1 z_i)(1 - tz_2 z_i) \dots (1 - tz_{i-1} z_i) \\ &\quad \times (1 - tz_i/z_{i+1})(1 - tz_i/z_{i+2}) \dots (1 - tz_i/z_n) \\ &\quad \times (1 - tz_i z_{i+1})(1 - tz_i z_{i+2}) \dots (1 - tz_i z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$z = \zeta_i = \underbrace{(x^{i-1}, x^{i-2}, \dots, x)}_{i-1}, \underbrace{(t^{n-i} a_1, t^{n-i-1} a_1, \dots, a_1)}_{n-i+1}. \tag{36}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \\ &= \frac{(1 - a_1^2 t^{n-i}) \prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - a_k a_1 t^{n-i})}{(t^{n-i} a_1)^{n+1}} \\ &\quad \times (x^{i-1} - t^{n-i+1} a_1)(x^{i-2} - t^{n-i+1} a_1) \dots (x - t^{n-i+1} a_1) \\ &\quad \times (1 - x^{i-1} t^{n-i+1} a_1)(1 - x^{i-2} t^{n-i+1} a_1) \dots (1 - x t^{n-i+1} a_1) \\ &\quad \times (1 - t^2)(1 - t^3) \dots (1 - t^{n-i+1}) \\ &\quad \times (1 - t^{2(n-i)} a_1^2)(1 - t^{2(n-i)-1} a_1^2) \dots (1 - t^{n-i+1} a_1^2), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \\
&= \frac{(1 - a_1^2 t^{n-i}) \prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - a_k a_1 t^{n-i})}{(t^{n-i} a_1)^{n+1}} \\
&\quad \times (-t^{n-i+1} a_1)^{i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1 - t^{j+1}) (1 - t^{n-i+j} a_1^2) \\
&= (-t)^{i-1} \frac{\prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - a_k a_1 t^{n-i})}{(1-t)(t^{n-i} a_1)^{n-i+2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-i} (1 - t^{j+1}) (1 - t^{n-i+j} a_1^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4** *If  $i \geq k$ , then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} \left( f_k(z) - g_k(z) \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} = (-1)^k (t^{k-1} - t^{2n-k-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4).$$

**Proof.** From (28) and (29), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} f_k(z) &= \prod_{m=1}^4 (a_m - z_k) \\
&\quad \times (tz_1/z_k - 1)(tz_2/z_k - 1) \dots (tz_{k-1}/z_k - 1) \\
&\quad \times (t - z_1 z_k)(t - z_2 z_k) \dots (t - z_{k-1} z_k) \\
&\quad \times (t - z_k/z_{k+1})(t - z_k/z_{k+2}) \dots (t - z_k/z_n) \\
&\quad \times (t - z_k z_{k+1})(t - z_k z_{k+2}) \dots (t - z_k z_n), \\
\frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} g_k(z) &= \prod_{m=1}^4 (1 - a_m z_k) \\
&\quad \times (z_1/z_k - t)(z_2/z_k - t) \dots (z_{k-1}/z_k - t) \\
&\quad \times (1 - tz_1 z_k)(1 - tz_2 z_k) \dots (1 - tz_{k-1} z_k) \\
&\quad \times (1 - tz_k/z_{k+1})(1 - tz_k/z_{k+2}) \dots (1 - tz_k/z_n) \\
&\quad \times (1 - tz_k z_{k+1})(1 - tz_k z_{k+2}) \dots (1 - tz_k z_n).
\end{aligned}$$

From the above equations, if we put

$$z = \zeta_{i+1} = \underbrace{(x^i, x^{i-1}, \dots, x)}_i, \underbrace{t^{n-i-1} a_1, t^{n-i-2} a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n-i} \quad (37)$$

and suppose  $k \leq i$ , then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} f_k(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} &= (-1)^{k-1} t^{2n-k-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4, \\
\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} g_k(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} &= (-t)^{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes Lemma 5.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5** *The following holds for  $1 \leq j \leq i + 1$ :*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \left( \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \overline{\varphi}_i(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_j} = (-1)^{j-1} c_{i,j-1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-j+1)}(t^{n-j} a_1, \dots, a_1). \quad (38)$$

**Proof.** From (33), it follows that

$$\left( \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \overline{\varphi}_i(z) = \sum_{k=0}^i c_{ik} \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_{j-1} e_k^{(n)}(z) \right) \left( z_1^n z_2^{n-1} \dots z_{j-1}^{n-j+2} \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z) \right)$$

Put

$$z = \zeta_j = \underbrace{(x^{j-1}, x^{j-2}, \dots, x)}_{j-1}, \underbrace{(t^{n-j} a_1, t^{n-j-1} a_1, \dots, a_1)}_{n-j+1} \quad (39)$$

Since  $e_k^{(n)}(\zeta_j) = 0$  if  $j \leq k$  by Corollary 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left( \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \overline{\varphi}_i(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_j} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} c_{ik} \left[ \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_{j-1} e_k^{(n)}(z) \right) \left( z_1^n z_2^{n-1} \dots z_{j-1}^{n-j+2} \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z) \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

By definition (19) of  $e_k^{(n)}(z)$  and the explicit expression (39) of  $\zeta_j$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_{j-1} e_k^{(n)}(z) \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < j - 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = j - 1. \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

From Weyl's denominator formula (7) and the expression (39) of  $\zeta_j$ , it follows

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \left( z_1^n z_2^{n-1} \dots z_{j-1}^{n-j+2} \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z) \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_j} = (-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-j+1)}(t^{n-j} a_1, \dots, a_1). \quad (42)$$

Taking the limit  $x \rightarrow 0$  in both sides of (40) and using (41) and (42), we obtain (38). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6** *Set  $(\widehat{\zeta}_{j,k}) := (\zeta_{j,1}, \dots, \zeta_{j,k-1}, \zeta_{j,k+1}, \dots, \zeta_{j,n}) \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^{n-1}$  for  $\zeta_j \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ . Then*

$$e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{\zeta}_{j,k}) = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq j < i.$$

Moreover,

$$e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{\zeta}_{i,k}) = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 \leq k < i.$$

**Proof.** It is straightforward from (23) and Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7** *The coefficient  $c_{ij}$  in (33) vanishes if  $0 \leq j < i - 1$ . In particular,  $\overline{\varphi}_i(z)$  is expanded as*

$$\overline{\varphi}_i(z) = \left( c_{ii} e_i^{(n)}(z) + c_{i,i-1} e_{i-1}^{(n)}(z) \right) \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z).$$

**Proof.** From (38), in order to prove  $c_{ij} = 0$  for  $0 \leq j < i - 1$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \left( \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \bar{\varphi}_i(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_j} = 0 \quad (43)$$

if  $1 \leq j < i$ .

We now suppose  $1 \leq j < i$ . By Lemma 5.3, if  $j < k \leq n$ , then  $f_k(\zeta_j) = g_k(\zeta_j) = 0$ . Moreover, by Lemma 5.6, if  $k \leq j < i$ , then  $e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{\zeta}_{jk}) = 0$ . Since the summand of  $\bar{\varphi}_i(z)$  in form (32) has the factors  $f_k(z) - g_k(z)$  and  $e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k)$ , if we put  $z = \zeta_j$ , then  $\bar{\varphi}_i(\zeta_j) = 0$ . In particular, we conclude (43).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8** *The coefficient  $c_{i,i-1}$  in (33) is evaluated as*

$$c_{i,i-1} = \frac{1 - t^{n-i+1}}{(1-t)t^{n+1-2i}} \frac{\prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - t^{n-i} a_1 a_k)}{a_1}. \quad (44)$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.3,  $f_k(\zeta_i) = g_k(\zeta_i) = 0$  if  $i < k \leq n$ , and  $f_i(\zeta_i) = 0$ . Moreover, by Lemma 5.6,  $e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{\zeta}_{ik}) = 0$  if  $k < i$ . Since the summand of  $\bar{\varphi}_i(z)$  in form (32) has the factors  $f_k(z) - g_k(z)$  and  $e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k)$ , if we put  $z = \zeta_i$ , then

$$\bar{\varphi}_i(\zeta_i) = \left[ (-1)^i \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_i) \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_i) \right]_{z=\zeta_i}. \quad (45)$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \bar{\varphi}_i(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \\ &= (-1)^i \left[ \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} \right) \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_i) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left( z_1^{n-1} z_2^{n-2} \dots z_{i-1}^{n-i+1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_i) \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

From the explicit form (36) of  $\zeta_i$  and definition (19) of  $e_i^{(n)}(z)$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_i) \right]_{z=\zeta_i} = 1. \quad (47)$$

Using (36) and Weyl's denominator formula (7), we also have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1^{n-1} z_2^{n-2} \dots z_{i-1}^{n-i+1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_i) \right]_{z=\zeta_i} = (-1)^{i-1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-i)}(t^{n-i-1} a_1, \dots, a_1). \quad (48)$$

From (46), (47) and (48), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \bar{\varphi}_i(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \\ &= - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \mathcal{A}^{(n-i)}(t^{n-i-1} a_1, \dots, a_1). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$



Comparing (49) with (38), we have

$$c_{i,i-1} = (-1)^i \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} \frac{g_i(z)}{z_i^{n+1}} \right]_{z=\zeta_i} \frac{\mathcal{A}^{(n-i)}(t^{n-i-1}a_1, \dots, a_1)}{\mathcal{A}^{(n-i+1)}(t^{n-i}a_1, \dots, a_1)}. \quad (50)$$

From Weyl's denominator formula (7), it follows that

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}^{(j+1)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{j+1})}{\mathcal{A}^{(j)}(z_2, \dots, z_{j+1})} = -\frac{1 - z_1^2}{z_1} \prod_{k=2}^{j+1} \frac{(1 - z_1/z_k)(1 - z_1 z_k)}{z_1},$$

so that

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}^{(n-i+1)}(t^{n-i}a_1, \dots, a_1)}{\mathcal{A}^{(n-i)}(t^{n-i-1}a_1, \dots, a_1)} = \frac{-1}{(1 - t^{n-i+1})} \prod_{j=0}^{n-i} (1 - t^{j+1}) \frac{(1 - t^{n-i+j}a_1^2)}{t^{n-i}a_1}. \quad (51)$$

From (35), (50) and (51), we obtain (44). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9** *The coefficient  $c_{ii}$  in (33) is evaluated as*

$$c_{ii} = \frac{1 - t^i}{1 - t} (1 - t^{2n-i-1}a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4).$$

**Proof.** Using Lemma 5.3,  $f_k(\zeta_{i+1}) = g_k(\zeta_{i+1}) = 0$  if  $i + 2 \leq k \leq n$ . Since the summand of  $\bar{\varphi}_i(z)$  in form (32) has the factors  $f_k(z) - g_k(z)$ , if we put  $z = \zeta_{i+1}$ , then

$$\bar{\varphi}_i(\zeta_{i+1}) = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{f_k(z) - g_k(z)}{z_k^{n+1}} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k) \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}}$$

where  $k$  in the sum runs from 1 to  $i + 1$ . Thus, it follows that

$$\left[ \left( \prod_{l=1}^i z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \bar{\varphi}_i(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} = S_1(\zeta_{i+1}) + S_2(\zeta_{i+1})$$

where  $S_1(z)$  and  $S_2(z)$  are functions defined by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z) &:= \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k+1} \frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} \left( f_k(z) - g_k(z) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_{k-1} z_{k+1} \dots z_i e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( z_1^{n-1} z_2^{n-2} \dots z_{k-1}^{n-k+1} z_{k+1}^{n-k} \dots z_i^{n-i+1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k) \right), \\ S_2(z) &:= (-1)^i z_i^{n-i+1} \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_i \frac{f_{i+1}(z) - g_{i+1}(z)}{z_{i+1}^{n+1}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_{i-1} e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_{i+1}) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( z_1^{n-1} z_2^{n-2} \dots z_{i-1}^{n-i+1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_{i+1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_{i+1}(\zeta_{i+1}) = 0$  by Lemma 5.3, it follows that

$$\left[ z_i^{n-i+1} \left( z_1 z_2 \dots z_i \frac{f_{i+1}(z) - g_{i+1}(z)}{z_{i+1}^{n+1}} \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} = -x^{n-i+1} \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_i \frac{g_{i+1}(z)}{z_{i+1}^{n+1}} \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}}.$$

From (35) in Lemma 5.3, the RHS of the above equation vanishes if we take the limit  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Since the LHS of that is a factor of  $S_2(\zeta_{i+1})$ , we have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} S_2(\zeta_{i+1}) = 0$ .

If  $k \leq i$ , from the explicit form (37) of  $\zeta_{i+1}$  and definition (19) of  $e_i^{(n)}(z)$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1 z_2 \dots z_{k-1} z_{k+1} \dots z_i e_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} = 1.$$

If  $k \leq i$ , we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ z_1^{n-1} z_2^{n-2} \dots z_{k-1}^{n-k+1} z_{k+1}^{n-k} \dots z_i^{n-i+1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}(\widehat{z}_k) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-i)}(t^{n-i-1} a_1, \dots, a_1) \end{aligned}$$

by using (37) and Weyl's denominator formula (7). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \left( \prod_{l=1}^i z_l^{n-l+2} \right) \overline{\varphi}_i(z) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} S_1(\zeta_{i+1}) \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \mathcal{A}^{(n-i)}(t^{n-i-1} a_1, \dots, a_1) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k+1} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} \left( f_k(z) - g_k(z) \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Comparing (38) with (52), and using Lemma 5.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ii} &= - \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k+1} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{z_1}{z_k} \frac{z_2}{z_k} \dots \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k} \left( f_k(z) - g_k(z) \right) \right]_{z=\zeta_{i+1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^i (t^{k-1} - t^{2n-k-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4) \\ &= \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} (1 - t^{2n-i-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.10** *The following relation holds between  $e_i^{(n)}(z)$  and  $e_{i-1}^{(n)}(z)$ :*

$$\int_0^{\xi_\infty} e_i^{(n)}(z) \Phi(z) \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z) \varpi_q = - \frac{c_{i,i-1}}{c_{ii}} \int_0^{\xi_\infty} e_{i-1}^{(n)}(z) \Phi(z) \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z) \varpi_q, \quad (53)$$

where the coefficient is evaluated as

$$- \frac{c_{i,i-1}}{c_{ii}} = - \frac{(1-t^{n+1-i})}{(1-t^i) t^{n+1-2i}} \frac{\prod_{k=2}^4 (1-t^{n-i} a_k)}{a_1 (1-t^{2n-i-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4)}.$$

**Remark.** In other words, by definition (13), Theorem 5.10 is nothing but Theorem 1.2.

**Proof.** Since  $\int_0^{\xi_\infty} \Phi(z) \bar{\varphi}_i(z) \varpi_q = 0$  by (25) in Lemma 5.1, from Lemma 5.7, it follows that

$$\int_0^{\xi_\infty} \Phi(z) \left( c_{ii} e_i^{(n)}(z) + c_{i,i-1} e_{i-1}^{(n)}(z) \right) \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(z) \varpi_q = 0.$$

We therefore obtain (53). The evaluation of the coefficient  $-c_{i,i-1}/c_{ii}$  is given by Lemma 5.8 and 5.9. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

## 6 Product formula

The aim of this section is to deduce a product formula for the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral as if reconstructing the product expression (2) of the beta function from  $q$ -difference equations (3) and asymptotic behavior (4). The following formula has been proved by van Diejen [26]. He has done it to calculate a certain multiple Jackson integral in two ways by using Fubini's theorem, following Gustafson's method [9]. We give here another proof of it as a consequence of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 6.1 (van Diejen)** *The constant  $C$  in the expression (18) is the following:*

$$C = (1 - q)^n (q)_\infty^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(qt^{-i})_\infty \prod_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 4} (qt^{-(n-i)} a_\mu^{-1} a_\nu^{-1})_\infty}{(qt^{-1})_\infty (qt^{-(n+i-2)} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1})_\infty}.$$

Before proving Theorem 6.1, we have to establish  $q$ -difference equations and asymptotic behavior for the  $BC_n$  type Jackson integral.

### 6.1 $q$ -difference equations

First we deduce a recurrent relation which  $J(\xi)$  satisfies, using Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 6.2** *Let  $T_{a_1}$  be the  $q$ -shift of parameter  $a_1$  such that  $T_{a_1}: a_1 \rightarrow qa_1$ . Then*

$$T_{a_1} J(\xi) = (-a_1)^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - t^{n-i} a_1 a_k)}{1 - t^{n+i-2} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} J(\xi).$$

**Remark.** The parameters  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $a_4$  can be replaced symmetrically in the above equation.

**Proof.** The function  $T_{a_1} J(\xi)$  is written

$$T_{a_1} J(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi_\infty} \frac{T_{a_1} \Phi(z)}{\Phi(z)} \Phi(z) \Delta(z) \varpi_q = \int_0^{\xi_\infty} e'_n(z) \Phi(z) \Delta(z) \varpi_q$$

because the following holds for  $\Phi(z)$  by Lemma 4.1:

$$\frac{T_{a_1} \Phi(z)}{\Phi(z)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(a_1 - z_i)(1 - a_1 z_i)}{a_1 z_i} = e'_n(z).$$

From repeated use of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\int_0^{\xi_\infty} e'_n(z)\Phi(z)\Delta(z)\varpi_q = (-a_1)^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{k=2}^4 (1 - t^{n-j} a_1 a_k)}{1 - t^{n+j-2} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} J(\xi).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $T^N$  be the shift of parameters for the special direction defined by

$$T^N : \begin{cases} a_1 & \rightarrow & a_1 q^{2N}, \\ a_2 & \rightarrow & a_2 q^{-N}, \\ a_3 & \rightarrow & a_3 q^{-N}, \\ a_4 & \rightarrow & a_4 q^{-N}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 6.3** *The following holds for the shift  $T^N$ :*

$$J(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(a_1 a_2^2 a_3^2 a_4^2 t^{3(n-i)})^N \prod_{2 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 4} (qt^{-(n-i)} a_\mu^{-1} a_\nu^{-1})_{2N}}{q^{2N(N+1)} (qt^{-(n+i-2)} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1})_N \prod_{k=2}^4 (t^{n-i} a_1 a_k)_N} \times T^N J(\xi).$$

**Proof.** Applying Corollary 6.2 to  $J(\xi)$  repeatedly, we obtain the above relation between  $J(\xi)$  and  $T^N J(\xi)$ .  $\square$

## 6.2 Asymptotic behavior of truncated Jackson integral

Next we consider an asymptotic behavior of  $J(\zeta)$ .

**Lemma 6.4** *The asymptotic behavior of the truncated Jackson integral  $T^N J(\zeta)$  at  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  is the following:*

$$T^N J(\zeta) \sim (1-q)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{q^{2N(N+1)} (t^{n-i} a_1)^{1-\alpha_1-\dots-\alpha_4-2(n-i)\tau} (q)_\infty (t)_\infty}{(a_1 a_2^2 a_3^2 a_4^2 t^{3(n-i)})^N (t^i)_\infty}.$$

**Proof.** We divide  $\Phi(z)\Delta(z)$  into the following three parts:

$$\Phi(z)\Delta(z) = I_1(z)I_2(z)I_3(z)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{1-\alpha_1-\dots-\alpha_4-2(n-i)\tau}, \\ I_2(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (qa_1^{-1} z_i)_\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1 - z_j/z_k) \frac{(qt^{-1} z_j/z_k)_\infty}{(tz_j/z_k)_\infty}, \\ I_3(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - z_i^2)}{(a_1 z_i)_\infty} \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{(qa_m^{-1} z_i)_\infty}{(a_m z_i)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1 - z_j z_k) \frac{(qt^{-1} z_j z_k)_\infty}{(tz_j z_k)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T^N J(\zeta)$  is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} T^N J(\zeta) &= (1-q)^n \sum_{\nu \in D} T^N \left( \Phi(q^\nu \zeta) \Delta(q^\nu \zeta) \right) \\ &= (1-q)^n \sum_{\nu \in D} T^N I_1(q^\nu \zeta) T^N I_2(q^\nu \zeta) T^N I_3(q^\nu \zeta) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T^N I_1(q^\nu \zeta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (t^{n-i} a_1 q^{\nu_i + 2N})^{1-\alpha_1-\dots-\alpha_4-2(n-i)\tau+N}, \\ T^N I_2(q^\nu \zeta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (qt^{n-i} q^{\nu_i})_\infty \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1 - t^{k-j} q^{\nu_j - \nu_k}) \frac{(t^{k-j-1} q^{\nu_j - \nu_k + 1})_\infty}{(t^{k-j+1} q^{\nu_j - \nu_k})_\infty}, \\ T^N I_3(q^\nu \zeta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - t^{2(n-i)} a_1^2 q^{\nu_i + 4N})}{(t^{n-i} a_1^2 q^{\nu_i + 4N})_\infty} \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{(t^{n-i} a_1 a_m^{-1} q^{1+\nu_i+3N})_\infty}{(t^{n-i} a_1 a_m q^{\nu_i + N})_\infty} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1 - t^{2n-j-k} a_1^2 q^{\nu_j + \nu_k + 4N}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{(t^{2n-j-k-1} a_1^2 q^{1+\nu_j + \nu_k + 4N})_\infty}{(t^{2n-j-k+1} a_1^2 q^{\nu_j + \nu_k + 4N})_\infty} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (54) indicates that the summand  $T^N \left( \Phi(q^\nu \zeta) \Delta(q^\nu \zeta) \right)$  of  $T^N J(\zeta)$  corresponding to  $\nu = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in D$  gives the principal term of asymptotic behavior of  $T^N J(\zeta)$  at  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  because the point  $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in D$  is the vertex of the cone  $D$ . Hence we have

$$T^N J(\zeta) \sim (1-q)^n T^N I_1(\zeta) T^N I_2(\zeta) T^N I_3(\zeta). \quad (55)$$

Moreover the asymptotic behavior of each  $T^N I_i(\zeta)$  at  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} T^N I_1(\zeta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (t^{n-i} a_1 q^{2N})^{1-\alpha_1-\dots-\alpha_4-2(n-i)\tau+N} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(t^{n-i} a_1)^{1-\alpha_1-\dots-\alpha_4-2(n-i)\tau} q^{2N(N+1)}}{(a_1 a_2^2 a_3^2 a_4^2 t^{3(n-i)})^N}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^N I_2(\zeta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (qt^{n-i})_\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1 - t^{k-j}) \frac{(qt^{k-j-1})_\infty}{(t^{k-j+1})_\infty} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q)_\infty (t)_\infty}{(t^i)_\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T^N I_3(\zeta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - t^{2(n-i)} a_1^2 q^{4N})}{(t^{n-i} a_1^2 q^{4N})_\infty} \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{(t^{n-i} a_1 a_m^{-1} q^{1+3N})_\infty}{(t^{n-i} a_1 a_m q^N)_\infty} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (1 - t^{2n-j-k} a_1^2 q^{4N}) \frac{(t^{2n-j-k-1} a_1^2 q^{1+4N})_\infty}{(t^{2n-j-k+1} a_1^2 q^{4N})_\infty} \\
&\sim 1 \quad (N \rightarrow +\infty).
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Combining (55), (56), (57) and (58), we obtain Lemma 6.4.  $\square$

### 6.3 Proof of Theorem 6.1

**Theorem 6.5** *The truncated Jackson integral  $J(\zeta)$  is evaluated as*

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\zeta) &= (1 - q)^n (q)_\infty^n \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(t)_\infty (t^{n-i} a_1)^{1-\alpha_1-\dots-\alpha_4-2(n-i)\tau} \prod_{2 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 4} (qt^{-(n-i)} a_\mu^{-1} a_\nu^{-1})_\infty}{(t^i)_\infty (qt^{-(n+i-2)} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1})_\infty \prod_{k=2}^4 (t^{n-i} a_1 a_k)_\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** It is straightforward from Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 6.5, we deduce Theorem 6.1.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** The constant  $C$  is written  $C = J(\xi)/\Theta(\xi)$  by virtue of Lemma 3.1. In particular, putting  $\xi = \zeta$ , from Theorem 6.5, we obtain

$$C = \frac{J(\zeta)}{\Theta(\zeta)} = (1 - q)^n (q)_\infty^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(qt^{-i})_\infty \prod_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 4} (qt^{-(n-i)} a_\mu^{-1} a_\nu^{-1})_\infty}{(qt^{-1})_\infty (qt^{-(n+i-2)} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1})_\infty},$$

because  $\Theta(\xi)$  in (17) is evaluated at  $\xi = \zeta$  as

$$\Theta(\zeta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(t)}{\theta(t^i)} \frac{(t^{n-i} a_1)^{1-\alpha_1-\dots-\alpha_4-2(n-i)\tau}}{\prod_{k=2}^4 \theta(t^{n-i} a_1 a_k)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is now complete.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments.

The author would like to thank K. Aomoto for stimulative discussions. He is very grateful to T. Ikeda, H. Mizukawa and H-F. Yamada for providing him helpful comments during a visit at Okayama University, March, 8-10, 2004.

### References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, Special functions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 71. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] K. Aomoto, Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg integrals. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18** (1987), 545–549.
- [3] K. Aomoto, On product formula for Jackson integrals associated with root systems. preprint 1994.

- [4] K. Aomoto, On elliptic product formulas for Jackson integrals associated with reduced root systems. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **8** (1998), 115–126.
- [5] K. Aomoto, Connection problem for difference equations associated with real hyperplane arrangements. preprint 2000.
- [6] R. Askey, Some basic hypergeometric extensions of integrals of Selberg and Andrews. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **11** (1980), 938–951.
- [7] R. J. Evans, Multidimensional  $q$ -beta integrals. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **23** (1992), 758–765.
- [8] W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation theory. A first course. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [9] R. A. Gustafson, A generalization of Selberg’s beta integral. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **22** (1990), 97–105.
- [10] L. Habsieger,  $q$ -intégrale de Selberg et Askey. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988), 1475–1489.
- [11] M. Ito, On a theta product formula for Jackson integrals associated with root systems of rank two. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **216** (1997), 122–163.
- [12] M. Ito, Symmetry classification for Jackson integrals associated with irreducible reduced root systems. *Compositio Math.* **129** (2001), 325–340.
- [13] M. Ito, A product formula for Jackson integral associated with the root system  $F_4$ . *Ramanujan J.* **6** (2002), 279–293.
- [14] M. Ito, Symmetry classification for Jackson integrals associated with the root system  $BC_n$ . *Compositio Math.* **136** (2003), 209–216.
- [15] M. Ito, Convergence and asymptotic behavior of Jackson integrals associated with irreducible reduced root systems. *J. Approx. Theory* **124** (2003), 154–180.
- [16] M. Ito, Askey-Wilson type integrals associated with root systems, submitted to *Ramanujan J.*
- [17] M. Ito, Another proof of Gustafson’s  $C_n$ -type summation formula via ‘elementary’ symmetric polynomials, submitted to *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*
- [18] K. W. J. Kadell, A proof of Askey’s conjectured  $q$ -analogue of Selberg’s integral and a conjecture of Morris. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988), 969–986.
- [19] K. W. J. Kadell, A proof of the  $q$ -Macdonald-Morris conjecture for  $BC_n$ . *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1994), 516.
- [20] J. Kaneko  $q$ -Selberg integrals and Macdonald polynomials. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **29** (1996), 583–637.
- [21] I. G. Macdonald, Some conjectures for root systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982), 988–1007.
- [22] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Second edition. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [23] I. G. Macdonald, A formal identity for affine root systems. *Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, 195–211, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, **210**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [24] M. L. Mehta, Random matrices. Second edition. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991.
- [25] W. G. Morris, Constant term identities for finite and affine root systems: conjectures and theorem, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1982
- [26] J. F. van Diejen, On certain multiple Bailey, Rogers and Dougall type summation formulas. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), 483–508.

Masahiko ITO

Department of Physics and Mathematics, Aoyama Gakuin University,  
5-10-1 Fuchinobe, Sagamihara-shi, Kanagawa 229-8558, Japan  
[mito@gem.aoyama.ac.jp](mailto:mito@gem.aoyama.ac.jp)